

Paid OK # 017511  
10.00 1/30/97  
PS

For Ecology Use
Fee Paid _____
Date _____

## State of Washington

### Application for a Water Right

Please follow the attached instructions to avoid unnecessary delays.

#### Section 1. APPLICANT - PERSON, ORGANIZATION, OR WATER SYSTEM

Name Watts Brothers Farms Home Tel: ( ) - \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mailing Address PO Box 129 Work Tel: (509) 875 - 2223  
 City Paterson State WA Zip+4 99345 + 0129 FAX: (509) 875 - 2323

#### Section 2. CONTACT - PERSON TO CALL ABOUT THE APPLICATION

Same as above

Name Doug Watts Home Tel: ( ) - \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mailing Address \_\_\_\_\_ Work Tel: ( ) - \_\_\_\_\_  
 City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip+4 \_\_\_\_\_ + \_\_\_\_\_ FAX: ( ) - \_\_\_\_\_  
 Relationship to applicant partner

#### Section 3. STATEMENT OF INTENT (A temporary permit is also requested--see cover letter.)

The applicant requests a permit to use not more than 600 ( gallons per minute or  cubic feet per second) from a  surface water source or  ground water source (check only one) for the purpose(s) of year round industrial and group domestic uses. ATTACH A "LEGAL" DESCRIPTION OF THE PLACE OF USE. (See instructions.) NOTE: A tax parcel number or a plat number is not sufficient.

Estimate a maximum annual quantity to be used in acre-feet per year: 450 acre-feet

Check if the water use is proposed for a short-term project. Indicate the period of time that the water will be needed:

From \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_ to \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

#### Section 4. WATER SOURCE (See accompanying report describing the aquifer.)

If SURFACE WATER	If GROUNDWATER
Name the water source and indicate if stream, spring, lake, etc. If unnamed, write "unnamed spring," "unnamed stream," etc.:	A permit is desired for <u>2</u> well(s).
Number of diversions: _____	
Source flows into (name of body of water):	Size & depth of well(s): <u>One existing: 10 inch, 470 feet deep</u> <u>One proposed: construction to be determined.</u>

#### LOCATION

Enter the north-south and east-west distances in feet from the point of diversion or withdrawal to the nearest section corner:

Existing well: approx. 200 ft S and 200 ft E of W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> corner Sec. 3

Proposed well: to be within the W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Sec. 3.

¼ of	¼ of	Section	Township	Range(E/W)	County	If location of source is platted, complete below:		
						Lot	Block	Subdivision
NW	SW	3	5	26E	Benton			
W <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	SW <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	3	5	26E	Benton			

For Ecology Use Date Received: JANUARY 30, 1997 Priority Date: JANUARY 30, 1997

SEPA: Exempt/Not Exempt \_\_\_\_\_ FERC License # \_\_\_\_\_ Dept. Of Health # \_\_\_\_\_

Date Accepted As Complete SEP 4, 1997 By DMK Date Returned \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_ WRIA: 31



**Section 5. GENERAL WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION**

- A. Name of system, if named: \_\_\_\_\_
- B. Briefly describe your proposed water system. **(See instructions.)**  
*The immediate need is for industrial and domestic supply for a new processing facility in Sec. 3. The main industrial use will be for corn processing with water required for various needs such as a hydro cooler, belt wash, and gutter collection system. Water is recycled where possible. The application also requests group domestic supply for the existing office facilities located to the northwest. This office is currently served by an exempt water right well but future plans call for integrating the systems for improved reliability and operations. The Department of Health will be consulted concerning public water system requirements. Discharge from the industrial use will at times be delivered as a nutrient additive to the adjacent irrigation system. The irrigation uses are made through water rights from the Columbia River: SWP 16571(C)P, SWP 16571(D)P and others from the pump station in the SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> Sec. 8, T. 5 N., R. 26 E.W.M.*
- C. Do you already have any water rights or claims associated with this property or system?  YES  NO  
 PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION.

**Section 6. DOMESTIC / PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM INFORMATION**

**(Completed for all domestic/public supply uses.)**

- A. Number of "connections" requested: \_\_\_\_\_ Type of connection \_\_\_\_\_ *group domestic supply for approx 100 workers and related to facility operation.*  
 (Homes, Apartment, Recreational, etc.)
- B. Are you within the area of an approved water system?  YES  NO  
 If yes, explain why you are unable to connect to the system. *Note: Regional water systems are identified by your County Health Department.*

**Complete C. and D. only if the proposed water system will have fifteen or more connections.**

- C. Do you have a current water system plan approved by the Washington State Department of Health?  YES  NO  
 If yes, when was it approved? \_\_\_\_\_ Please attach the current approved version of your plan.
- D. Do you have an approved conservation plan?  YES  NO  
 If yes, when was it approved? \_\_\_\_\_ Please attach the current approved version of your plan.

**Section 7. IRRIGATION/AGRICULTURAL/FARM INFORMATION**

**(Complete for all irrigation and agriculture uses.)**

- A. Total number of acres to be irrigated: \_\_\_\_\_
- B. List total number of acres for other specified agricultural uses:  
 Use \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_  
 Use \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_  
 Use \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_
- C. Total number of acres to be covered by this application: \_\_\_\_\_
- D. Family Farm Act (Initiative Measure Number 59, November 3, 1977)  
 Add up the acreage in which you have a controlling interest, including only:  
 ‡ Acreage irrigated under water rights acquired after December 8, 1977;  
 ‡ Acreage proposed to be irrigated under this application;  
 ‡ Acreage proposed to be irrigated under other pending application(s).
1. Is the combined acreage greater than 2000 acres?  YES  NO  
 2. Do you have a controlling interest in a Family Farm Development Permit?  YES  NO  
 If yes, enter permit no: \_\_\_\_\_
- E. Farm uses:  
 Stockwater - Total # of animals \_\_\_\_\_ Animal type \_\_\_\_\_ (If dairy cattle, see below)  
 Dairy - # Milking \_\_\_\_\_ # Non-milking \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 8. WATER STORAGE**

Will you be using a dam, dike, or other structure to retain or store water?

YES  NO

*A pond may be used.*

*NOTE: If you will be storing 10 acre-feet or more of water and/or if the water depth will be 10 feet or more at the deepest point, and some portion of the storage will be above grade, you must also apply for a reservoir permit. You can get a reservoir permit application from the Department of Ecology.*

**Section 9. DRIVING DIRECTIONS**

Provide detailed driving instructions to the project site.

*I-82 east from Yakima.*

*South from Prosser on SR 221 about 23 miles.*

*Turn left at Watts Bros sign to main office.*

**Section 10. REQUIRED MAP**

A. Attach a map of the project. (See instructions.)

**Section 11. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP**

A. Does the applicant own the land on which the water will be used?

YES  NO

If no, explain the applicant's interest in the place of use and provide the name(s) and address(es) of the owner(s):

---

---

---

B. Does the applicant own the land on which the water source is located?

YES  NO

If no, submit a copy of agreement:

I certify that the information above is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that in order to process my application, I grant staff from the Department of Ecology access to the site for inspection and monitoring purposes. Even though I may have been assisted in the preparation of the above application by the employees of the Department of Ecology, all responsibility for the accuracy of the information rests with me.

Applicant (or authorized representative) *Doug Watts*

*1-27-97*  
Date

*for Watts Brothers Farms*

Landowner for place of use (if same as applicant, write "same")

Date

Use this page to continue your answers to any questions on the application. Please indicate section number before answer.

We are returning your application for the following reason(s):	
_____ Examination fee was not enclosed	APPLICANT PLEASE RETURN TO CASHIER, PO BOX 5128, LACEY, WA 98509-5128
_____ Section number(s) _____ is/are incomplete	APPLICANT PLEASE RETURN TO THE APPROPRIATE REGIONAL OFFICE
Explanation:	
Please provide the additional information requested above and return your application by _____ _____ (date).	

Ecology staff \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_


To receive this document in alternative format, contact Lisa Newman at (360) 407-6604 (Voice) or (360) 407-6006 (TDD).

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION  
CORN PLANT SITE**

Source: Golladay Surveying, Inc.

Date: 9/26/96


Beginning at the  $W\frac{1}{4}$  corner of Section 3, T. 5 N., R. 26 E.W.M., Benton County, Washington, being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;  
thence along the west line of said Section 3, N 00°59'38" W 8.50 feet;  
thence N 89°00'04" E 800.00 feet;  
thence S 00°59'56" E 2129.04 feet to the north margin of State Highway SR14 and a point of non-tangent curve the radial center of which bears N 08°55'50" W 17116.81 feet;  
thence southwest 805.39 feet along the arc of a curve to the right through a central angle of 02°41'45" to a point on the west line of said Section 3;  
thence along said west line N 00°59'56" W 2212.88 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 40 acres more or less.




# TOWNSHIP 5 N., RANGE 26 E.W. M. BENTON COUNTY, WASHINGTON


JULY 1976

(23)





**Figure 1. Land and Water Features.**



**Figure 2. Profile A-A' Showing Water Level Elevations**

**Aquifer Identification and Potential Impacts:  
Watts Brothers Farms  
Corn Processing Facility Well**

Timothy D. Reiersen

811 S. 8th Ave  
Yakima, WA 98902  
509 454-9527

January 27, 1997

# Aquifer Identification and Potential Impacts: Watts Brothers Farms Corn Processing Facility Well

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to identify and briefly describe the aquifer developed by the Watts Brothers Farms corn processing facility well located near Paterson, Washington. The report also includes a qualitative description of potential impacts to neighboring wells and surface waters of the Columbia River. The well is interpreted to derive water from interflow zones within the Saddle Mountains Basalt Formation of the Columbia River Basalt Group. It is concluded that the potential for impact is very low based primarily on water level data, geologic structures, and separation distances.

## Well Description

The well is located approximately 200 feet east and 200 feet south of the W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> corner of Section 3, T. 5 N., R. 26 E. W.M., Benton County, Washington. It was completed in January 1997 to a 470 feet depth, and was originally intended as a domestic well for the facility. The elevation of the well head is approximately 470 feet above mean sea level. The well penetrated basalt from 14 feet below land surface to 130 feet; blue clay from 130 feet to 155 feet; basalt from 155 to 345 feet; blue clay and white sand from 345 to 432 feet; and basalt from 432 to 470 feet below land surface. A 10 inch steel casing is cemented in place to 39 feet, with an 8 inch unperforated liner installed from land surface to 434 feet. The 8 inch liner was cement pressure grouted in place. The static water level was reported by the drilling contractor to be 108 feet in November 1996. The well location is shown on Figure 1. The well report is given in the appendix.

## Geologic Setting

The well is located within the Columbia Plateau, on the south flank of Paterson Ridge and lying north of the Columbia River. The well is interpreted to penetrate basalt flows and interbeds of the Saddle Mountains Basalt Formation of the Columbia River Basalt Group. Flows predicted to be present are, in order of increasing depth, Elephant Mountain Member, Pomona Member, and Umatilla Member. Distribution maps of flows of the Saddle Mountains Basalt Formation are

given in Anderson and others (1987). The major water bearing zone developed by the well is an area of vesicular basalt from 432 to 465 feet depths, tentatively predicted to be the top of the Umatilla Member. Drill chip cuttings from the well are not available for chemical analysis.

Paterson Ridge is an east-west trending uplift in the basalt formations associated with the Columbia Hills anticline. Such features typically restrict the flow of groundwater, to varying degrees. The regional groundwater flow is expected to be generally towards the south and west as controlled by the Horse Heaven Hills uplift which extends 20 miles north of the well site. Local geologic structures can significantly affect ground water flow. In its westerly course, the Columbia River generally follows a syncline, or low point for drainage areas north and south of the river. The well is 9300 feet north of the centerline of the main channel of the Columbia River and 7200 feet south of Paterson Ridge. Figure 1 shows the major land and water features in the area of the well.

#### **Water Level Data**

The static water level in the well was measured as 108 feet below land surface on November 17, 1996. This is an elevation of approximately 362 feet, which is about 100 feet above the normal pool elevation of the Columbia River John Day Pool (265 feet elevation). The water bearing zone in the well is located at an elevation of about 20 feet. The Columbia River floor directly south from the well is at an elevation of about 230 feet. Thus, although the water bearing zone is located about 200 feet lower in elevation than the river bed, the groundwater is under sufficient confining pressure to raise the water level in the well to an elevation 100 feet higher than river level. A profile view showing the elevations of the well head, water bearing zone, well water level, and river is shown in Figure 2.

#### **Neighboring Wells and Pending Applications**

Figure 1 shows neighboring wells in the area. All of the well sites are separated sufficiently to prevent impairment of water rights due to drawdown from the corn facility well. Based on experience with basalt formation wells, the anticipated drawdown at these distances is expected to be less than five feet. The proposed Department of Natural Resources (DNR) well would likely be constructed to a deeper aquifer due to the quantity of water requested (Water Right Application No. G4-31631). The other wells are as follows: Engbretson (Department of Ecology monitoring well), Paterson school (monitoring well, Water Right No. G3-00255C),

Paterson community (GWC 5291), Chateau Ste. Michelle (G4-27737C), Stimson Lane (Application G4-32272). According to Department of Ecology records, the Paterson community monitoring well in Section 5 has had spring time water levels near 90 feet below land surface (with up to 9 feet variation) for the period of record, which dates back to 1983. The April 1995 water level elevation was about 20 feet lower than the November 1996 level in the corn processing facility well. The Engbretson monitoring well has fluctuated over a 48 feet range, both upwards and downwards, during this same period of record, which may indicate that it is not representative of the aquifer. The April 1995 water level elevation was about 14 feet lower than the November 1996 level in the corn processing facility well. The data from this well is considered to be inconclusive. Though not confirmed through aquifer testing, it is considered likely that the aquifer developed by the corn processing facility well is hydraulically connected to some degree to the Paterson community well, and possibly to other wells in this vicinity.

#### **Temporary Permit Request**

Due to a large backlog of applications at the Department of Ecology, it is not possible to receive a final water right permit decision for industrial use of the well in time for the needs of the facility, which is scheduled to be on line in July 1997. Watts Brothers Farms has requested a temporary water right permit to use the well for the corn processing facility due to favorable well production and avoidance of expensive surface water filtration. Because the farm enjoys a large amount of irrigation water rights, an alternative water supply would be available should the well supply for some reason not be approved in the future. This would be accomplished through change of irrigation water rights to industrial supply (and domestic supply if necessary) during the irrigation season. Applications for change were filed in 1995 for this purpose. The surface water supply option, though not desirable from an economic standpoint, removes much of the risk of developing a major project relying only on a temporary permit.

This situation provides a unique opportunity for information about the local aquifer to be gathered. By issuing the temporary permit, a controlled use of the aquifer could be made and water level information gathered to study the pumping effect. Water levels in the processing facility well and the Paterson school well (Department of Ecology monitoring well) could be evaluated, for example in March of each year, to see if the withdrawals had noticeable effect.

Because it is anticipated to be many years before the Department renders a final permit decision for the new application, it is possible that sufficient data will have been collected to provide a basis for decisions in this area. Watts Brothers Farms is willing to assist with data gathering efforts if needed.

### Conclusions

The well construction, geologic setting, water level data, and distance of separation from the Columbia River provide evidence indicating a strong degree of hydraulic separation between the aquifer and the surface waters of the Columbia River. The well is clearly not in direct hydraulic continuity with the Columbia River, nor will withdrawals from the well have a significant or direct impact on the surface waters of the Columbia River. Neighboring wells and neighboring sites having senior pending applications are separated sufficiently (about two miles) so that interference due to pumping of the corn processing facility well would be small or not measurable. This degree of effect does not approach the magnitude necessary to cause impairment to neighboring rights. A temporary permit allowing use of the well in the meantime before a final permit decision is made will benefit the state by providing useful data concerning the aquifer while not constituting an "in perpetuity" appropriation of water.

### REFERENCES

Anderson, J.L.; Beeson, M.H.; Bentley, R.D. and others, 1987, Distribution maps of stratigraphic units of the Columbia River Basalt Group. *In* Schuster, J.E., editor, 1987, Selected papers on the geology of Washington: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources Bulletin 77.

Schuster, J.E., 1994, Geologic Maps of the East Half of the Washington Portion of the Goldendale 1:100,000 Quadrangle and the Washington Portion of the Hermiston 1:100,000 Quadrangle: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources OFR 94-9.

# APPENDIX

## WATER WELL REPORT

Start Card No. 42314

Original and First Copy with  
Department of Ecology  
Second Copy - Owner's Copy  
Third Copy - Miller's Copy

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Water Right Permit No. \_\_\_\_\_

(1) OWNER: Name Watts Bros. Frozen Food LLC Address 23526 W SR14

(2) LOCATION OF WELL: County Benton, Patterson, WA S.W. 1/4 Sec. 3 T. 5 N. R. 26 E. W.M.

(3) STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) Highway 231 Patterson, WA 99345

(4) PROPOSED USE:  Domestic  Irrigation  DeWater  Industrial  Test Well  Municipal  Other

(5) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well 1  
(if more than one)  
Abandoned  New well  Method: Dug  Cased  Dored   
Deepened  Cable  Driven   
Reconditioned  Rotary  Jetted

(6) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 10 inches.  
Depth 470 feet. Depth of completed well 470 ft.

(7) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:  
Casing installed: 10 " Diam. from +0 ft. to 39 ft.  
Wellhead  8 " Diam. from +1 ft. to 34 ft.  
Threaded  " Diam. from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ ft.

Perforations: Yes  No   
Type of perforator used \_\_\_\_\_  
SIZE of perforations \_\_\_\_\_ in. by \_\_\_\_\_ in.  
perforations from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ ft.  
perforations from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ ft.  
perforations from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ ft.

Sections: Yes  No   
Manufacturer's Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Type \_\_\_\_\_ Model No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Drain \_\_\_\_\_ Slot size \_\_\_\_\_ from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ ft.  
Drain \_\_\_\_\_ Slot size \_\_\_\_\_ from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ ft.  
Gravel packed: Yes  No  Size of gravel \_\_\_\_\_  
Gravel placed from \_\_\_\_\_ ft. to \_\_\_\_\_ ft.  
Surface seal: Yes  No  To what depth? 39 ft.  
Material used in seal Cement  
Do any strata contain unusable water? Yes  No   
Type of water? \_\_\_\_\_ Depth of strata \_\_\_\_\_  
Method of sealing strata off \_\_\_\_\_

(8) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Type \_\_\_\_\_ H.P. \_\_\_\_\_

(9) WATER LEVELS: Land surface elevation \_\_\_\_\_ ft. above mean sea level  
Static level 108 ft. below top of well Date 11-17-96  
Artesian pressure \_\_\_\_\_ lbs. per square inch Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Artesian water is controlled by \_\_\_\_\_ (Cap. valve, etc.)

(10) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level  
Was a pump test made? Yes  No  If yes, by whom? \_\_\_\_\_  
Yield: 450 gal./min. with \_\_\_\_\_ ft. drawdown after 4 hrs.  
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)

Time	Water Level	Time	Water Level
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Delivered \_\_\_\_\_ gal./min. with \_\_\_\_\_ ft. drawdown after \_\_\_\_\_ hrs.  
Zoned \_\_\_\_\_ gal./min. with stem set at 470 ft. for 4 hrs.  
Artesian flow \_\_\_\_\_ g.p.m. Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Temperature of water 59 Was a chemical analysis made? Yes  No

(10) WELL LOG or ABANDONMENT PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION

Information: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of information.

MATERIAL	FROM	TO
Silt	0	2
Boulders & Tan Clay	2	14
Brown Basalt	14	28
Black Basalt med.	28	107
Brown Basalt	107	130
Blue Clay	130	155
Brown Basalt	155	195
Black Basalt med.	195	235
Heavy Basalt Hard	235	345
Blue Clay	345	380
White sand & blue clay	380	432
vesicular Basalt, Brown	432	465
Black Basalt	465	470



Work started 11-2-96 1996. Completed 1-20 1997

**WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION:**  
I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.

NAME Waterwell Developing  
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPE OR PRINT)  
Address PO 1336 Humatilla, OR  
(Signed) [Signature] License No. 1893  
(WELL DRILLER)  
Contractor's Registration No. 05100146 Date 1-21 1997

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)





January 27, 1997

Darlene Frye  
Shorelands and Water Resources Program  
Department of Ecology  
15 West Yakima Ave, Suite 200  
Yakima, WA 98902-3401

KB

RE: Request for Temporary Permit, New Application for Well

Dear Ms. Frye:

Our corn processing facility near Paterson is scheduled to be on line in July of this year. The original plan for water supply was a mostly non-consumptive use of irrigation water, to be returned to the irrigation system. By returning the water to irrigation we benefit by disposing of our discharge and also delivering nutrients to the crops.

In drilling the domestic well for the site, we encountered a large amount of water which will be sufficient to supply the water needs of the plant. The well supply is strongly preferred because it avoids the very high costs of purchase and maintenance of a surface water filtration system. We are therefore requesting use of the well to supply the plant.


We are aware that your office has a large backlog of applications ahead of ours. With your cooperation, however, we think we can find a simple solution to this situation. By this letter and application we are requesting a temporary permit from the well in quantity sufficient for the first phase (existing well serving the corn processing facility only). This would require a maximum of 450 gallons per minute and 360 acre-feet per year for industrial use, and 2 acre-feet per year for domestic use. The actual values may be much lower once we get experience with how the equipment operates.

The temporary permit would stay in effect until the final decision is made. We retained a consultant to investigate the aquifer and based on his findings (enclosed) under current conditions we wouldn't expect a problem getting a final permit. The well is in a very isolated area. Knowing that things can change over time though, we do have a backup option of changing the surface water rights. The time of use is not a problem as the major water needs will initially be during the regular irrigation season.

We know you and your staff are very busy, but the expense involved in this decision is a substantial amount. We are willing to do as much ground work as necessary to limit the time commitment of your staff. Because of the lead time needed to design and order equipment, we do need to have the temporary permit within the next 30 days.

If you need further information I will be happy to meet with you at your convenience. Your office has been very helpful to us over the past few years since we acquired the property. We don't want to interfere with your other duties and so have tried to make this process as simple as possible.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Doug Watts". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "D" and a long, sweeping underline.

Doug Watts  
Watts Brothers Farms

Enclosures