

## WATER TRANSFER WORKING GROUP PROJECT DESCRIPTION

<b>APPLICATION NO./COURT CLAIM NO.</b> Court Claim No. 00777(A) and 05114 Application No. KITT-09-06		
<b>APPLICANT NAME</b> Teanaway Valley Farms, Inc.	<b>CONTACT NAME</b> Jeff Slothower	<b>TELEPHONE NO.</b> (509) 925-6916
<b>WATER RIGHT HOLDER'S NAME (if different)</b> Teanaway Valley Farms, Inc.		<b>EMAIL</b> <a href="mailto:jslothower@lwhsd.com">jslothower@lwhsd.com</a>

<b>DATE OF APPLICATION</b> October 31, 2009	<b>PRIORITY DATE</b> 1889
--	------------------------------

<b>WATER SOURCE:</b> Teanaway River and Mason Creek	<b>CROP:</b> Hay
<b>INSTANTANEOUS QUANTITY:</b> .055 cfs	<b>ANNUAL QUANTITY:</b> 17.875
<b>PERIOD OF USE:</b> May 1 to September 15	
<b>PLACE OF USE:</b> That portion of the NE ¼ of the SE ¼ of Section 14, Township 20 North, Range 16 EWM, lying east of Mason Creek.	<b>PURPOSE OF USE:</b> Irrigation of 2.75 acres
<b>IRRIGATION METHOD:</b> Rill/sprinkler	

**CONSUMPTIVE USE CALCULATION:** This is a change which adds a second point of diversion. The change did not require a consumptive use analysis.

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** The water right was the subject of a previous change processed under CS4-00777CTCL and CS 4-00777CTCL @1 (hereinafter referred to as the "2002 Change"). The 2002 Change was approved by the Kittitas County Water Conservancy Board ("Board") on October 22, 2002. Ecology modified the Board's decision by letter dated January 9, 2003. The modification letter by Ecology did not change the decision of the Board, but it added certain conditions on water measuring and reporting and on the construction of the ring well.

In the 2002 Change, the point of diversion of the water right was moved to a ring well which is in hydraulic continuity with the Teanaway River. Prior to 2002, this water right had been diverted from the Teanaway River and conveyed to the property via an open unlined earthen ditch referred to locally as the 3M Ditch. After the Board approved the 2002 Change and Ecology modified the decision, a ring well was constructed and put into operation. The point of diversion authorized in the 2002 Change was described as 58 yards north of the Teanaway River, 1,000 feet south of Musser Creek and 300 feet west of Musser Creek. That diversion point is the diversion point at which the applicant uses a ring well to divert water rights confirmed under the above-referenced court claim numbers from all three authorized sources.

The applicant filed a Proof of Appropriation with the Washington State Department of Ecology on October 20, 2008 which verified the Applicant had fully utilized the water right at the point of diversion authorized in the 2002 Change.

The reason for this present transfer is to add a point of diversion to allow the diversion of water directly from the Teanaway River by pump. The additional diversion point is necessary because the ring well was damaged in the floods of 2009 and no longer functions properly. As a result of the ring well no longer functioning, it is not possible to withdraw from the ring well the full quantity of the water right.

What the Applicant seeks to do in this application is to add a point of withdrawal 150-200 feet south of the existing ring well. At the new point of withdrawal, the Applicant would place a pump which would then have a foot valve extending directly into the Teanaway River. The Applicant proposes that the foot valve extending directly into the river would meet relevant criteria established by Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife and federal agencies to ensure that no listed or endangered species are impaired as a result of the foot valve directly in the river. The local WDFW office has reviewed this transfer and is not opposed to it. The pump is electrical and will pump water to the ring well. The pump would be removed after each irrigation season and stored.