

MEMORANDUM

April 16, 1975

To: John Hodgson

From: Dan Glantz

Subject: Leavenworth STP Efficiency Study

This study was conducted on March 19, 1975. Sampling commenced at 0825 and ended at 1630, a total of 17 samples at one-half hour intervals with field testing each time.

The weather was pleasant with some sunshine but considerable snow in the area. Intermittent snow and rain storms had occurred for several days prior to the study and the plant was experiencing hydraulic overload through the system. The flow recorder showed in excess of 400,000 GPD for several previous days with one day near a million gallons. This day showed 500,000 plus. The plant is designed for approximately 350,000 GPD.

The operator has only been on this job a few months and I understand she has made many improvements. Maintenance and housekeeping are very good. There was heavy flocking over one side of the weir on the clarifier. It definitely appeared the weir should be leveled. Other than that, all operating procedures appeared proper.

The attached Data Summary and Survey Report Form show unsatisfactory performance at this plant. I believe this will continue to be the case until modifications take place to accommodate the heavy flow.

DG:ee
Attachment

STP Survey Report Form

Efficiency Study

City Leavenworth Plant Type Secondary Pop. Served 1500 Design 3000
 Receiving Water Wanatchee River Perennial Intermittent _____
 Date 3/19/75 Survey Period 0825-1630 Survey Personnel Dan Glantz
 Comp. Sampling Frequency 1/2 hour Sampling Alequot 1000 M/L (Proportioned)
 Weather Conditions (24 hr) Intermittent Sunshine Above Freezing Are facilities provided for complete by-
 pass of raw sewage? Yes _____ No/Frequency of bypass _____
 Reason for bypass _____ Is bypass chlorinated? Yes No
 Was DOE Notified? _____ Discharge - Intermittent _____ Continuous _____

Plant Operation

Total flow 540,000 GPD How measured Recorder
 Maximum flow 640,000 Time of Max. 1300
 Minimum flow 500,000 Time of Min. 1400
 Pre Cl₂ _____ #/day Post Cl₂ _____ #/day

Field Results

17 Determinations	Influent				Effluent			
	Max.	Min.	Mean	Median	Max.	Min.	Mean	Median
Temp °C	9.5	8.0		9.0	8.0	7.0		8.0
pH (Units)	7.5	6.7		6.8	6.8	6.3		6.5
Conductivity (µmhos/cm ²)	400	210		275	300	200		250
Settleable Solids (mls/l)	8.0	5.5	7.0	7.5	1.25	.2	.55	.2

Laboratory Results on Composites

Laboratory No.	Influent	Effluent	% Reduction
	75-991	75-992	
5-Day BOD ppm	95	42	55%
COD ppm	129	59	54%
T.S. ppm	269	201	25%
T.N.V.S. ppm	152	132	13%
T.S.S. ppm	76	49	36%
N.V.S.S. ppm	ND	9	Gain
pH (Units)	7.3	7.2	
Conductivity (µmhos/cm ²)	280	230	
Turbidity (JTU's)	33	25	

Laboratory Bacteriological Results

Lab No.	Sampling Time	Colonies/100 ml (MF)			Cl ₂ Residual
		Total Coliform	Fecal Coliform	Fecal Strep	
75-993	0845	1200	110 (Est)		.75 & 1.0
75-994	0935	1200	40 (Est)		.20 & .50
75-995	1100	40 (Est)	<10		.20 & .75
75-996	1300	240 (Est)	30 (Est)		.75 & 1.00
75-997	1400	240 (Est)	20 (Est)		.75 & 1.00
75-998	1600	140 (Est)	20 (Est)		1.00 & 1.00

Additional Laboratory Results

NO ₃ -N ppm -	8.00	
NO ₂ -N ppm -	0.02	
NH ₃ -N ppm -	0.34	
T. Kjeldahl-N ppm -	3.36	
O-PO ₄ -P ppm -	1.91	
T-PO ₄ -P ppm -	2.80	

Operator's Name Vi Burlbach Phone No. 509-548-7722

Furnish a flow diagram with sequence and relative size and points of chlorination.
See attached engineers drawings.

Type of Collection System

Combined Separate Both

Estimate flow contributed by surface or ground water (infiltration)

_____ MGD

Plant Loading Information

Annual average daily flow rate (mgd)

Peak flow rate (mgd)

Dry _____

Dry _____

Wet _____

Wet _____

REMARKS: _____



