

September 3, 1974

Memo to: Ted Trepanier

From: Hans Cregg

Subject: Holiday Inn Efficiency Survey



On August 8, 1974, an efficiency study was conducted at the Holiday Inn Wastewater Treatment Plant located in Seattle (across from the Duwamish Drive In).

The operator was quite ignorant regarding the workings of his plant. It is difficult to visualize how he could have run an efficient operation in the past. The treatment plant looked rundown and evidently has received only the bare minimum of care and attention. The laboratory results obtained from the survey tend to confirm this hypothesis. (See attached sheet for reductions and coliform level.) It becomes readily apparent that the treatment plant rates last on Holiday Inn's priorities.

HC:jmh

STP Survey Report Form

Efficiency Study

City Holiday Inn STP Plant Type <sup>PACKAGE</sup> ALCANON Pop. Served \_\_\_\_\_ Design \_\_\_\_\_  
 Receiving Water Duwamish River Perennial X Intermittent \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date 8/7/74 Survey Period 7 Hours Survey Personnel H. J. Cregg  
 Comp. Sampling Frequency \_\_\_\_\_ Sampling Alequot 1000 mls.  
 Weather Conditions (24 hr) Sunny Are facilities provided for complete by-  
 pass of raw sewage? Yes X No/Frequency of bypass \_\_\_\_\_  
 Reason for bypass \_\_\_\_\_ Is bypass chlorinated? Yes No  
 Was DOE Notified? \_\_\_\_\_ Discharge - Intermittent \_\_\_\_\_ Continuous \_\_\_\_\_

Plant Operation

Total flow \_\_\_\_\_ How measured \_\_\_\_\_  
 Maximum flow \_\_\_\_\_ Time of Max. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Minimum flow \_\_\_\_\_ Time of Min. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pre Cl<sub>2</sub> \_\_\_\_\_ #/day Post Cl<sub>2</sub> 3 #/day

Field Results

Influent

Effluent

<u>Determinations</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Median</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Median</u>
Temp °C	23.0	22.0		22.0	23.0	22.0		23.0
pH (Units)	7.7	7.5		7.6	7.6	7.5		7.6
Conductivity (µmhos/cm <sup>2</sup> )	750	650		700	700	650		700
Settleable Solids (mls/l)	110	100	103	100	100	90	93	90

Laboratory Results on Composites

	<u>Influent</u>	<u>Effluent</u>	<u>% Reduction</u>
Laboratory No.	<u>74-3253</u>	<u>74-3254</u>	
5-Day BOD ppm	<u>&lt; 200</u>	<u>&lt; 200</u>	<u>0</u>
COD ppm	<u>295</u>	<u>295</u>	<u>0</u>
T.S. ppm	<u>532</u>	<u>488</u>	<u>8</u>
T.N.V.S. ppm	<u>223</u>	<u>209</u>	<u>7</u>
T.S.S. ppm	<u>169</u>	<u>165</u>	<u>3</u>
N.V.S.S. ppm	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>8</u>
pH (Units)	<u>7.8</u>	<u>7.6</u>	
Conductivity (µmhos/cm <sup>2</sup> )	<u>610</u>	<u>550</u>	
Turbidity (JTU's)	<u>12</u>	<u>43</u>	

Laboratory Bacteriological Results

Lab No.	Sampling Time	Colonies/100 ml (MF)			Cl <sub>2</sub> Residual
		Total Coliform	Fecal Coliform	Fecal Strep	
74-3255	0900	>4 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	>4000		None Detected
3256	1200	>4 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	>4000		" "
3257	1400	>4 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	>4000		" "
3258	1500	>4 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	>4000		" "

Additional Laboratory Results

NO <sub>3</sub> -N ppm -	None Detected
NO <sub>2</sub> -N ppm -	None Detected
NH <sub>3</sub> -N ppm -	19.4
T. Kjeldahl-N ppm -	36.7
O-PO <sub>4</sub> -P ppm -	6.8
T-PO <sub>4</sub> -P ppm -	15.4

Operator's Name \_\_\_\_\_ Phone No. \_\_\_\_\_

Furnish a flow diagram with sequence and relative size and points of chlorination.

Type of Collection System

Combined     Separate     Both

Estimate flow contributed by surface or ground water (infiltration)

\_\_\_\_\_ MGD

Plant Loading Information

Annual average daily flow rate (mgd)

Peak flow rate (mgd)

Dry \_\_\_\_\_

Dry \_\_\_\_\_

Wet \_\_\_\_\_

Wet \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_

