

SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM PERIODIC REVIEW

Periodic Review Checklist

 Prepared for: **City of Stevenson**

 Name of Amendment: **Comprehensive Update (G1200044 (Amendment 2)) & Periodic Review**

 Prepared by: **Ben Shumaker, Community Development Director**

 Date: **1/2/2019**

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
2017			
a.	OFM adjusted the cost threshold for substantial development to \$7,047.	Included during Comprehensive Update See SMP page 10, Section 2.5.1.6.a	None.
b.	Ecology amended rules to clarify that the definition of “development” does not include dismantling or removing structures.	Omitted.	Amended definition of Development on SMP Page 68, Section 7.2.
c.	Ecology adopted rules that clarify exceptions to local review under the SMA.	Omitted and or unclear.	Legislative exemptions added at SMP Page 8, Section 2.4. Clarity added throughout SMP Chapter 2 and SMP 18.08.
d.	Ecology amended rules that clarify permit filing procedures consistent with a 2011 statute.	Partially omitted.	Amended SMP 18.08.190.
e.	Ecology amended forestry use regulations to clarify that forest practices that only involves timber cutting are not SMA “developments” and do not require SDPs.	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 42 Section 5.4.5.2.a.	None.
f.	Ecology clarified the SMA does not apply to lands under exclusive federal jurisdiction	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 3, Section 1.5	None.
g.	Ecology clarified “default” provisions for nonconforming uses and development.	Local option developed during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 14-15, Section 2.9.	None.

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
h.	Ecology adopted rule amendments to clarify the scope and process for conducting periodic reviews .	Somewhat inconsistent.	Amended to align with example language. See SMP page 4-5, Section 1.9.
i.	Ecology adopted a new rule creating an optional SMP amendment process that allows for a shared local/state public comment period.	Confirmed with Ecology: no impediments to shared comment period are present.	None.
j.	Submittal to Ecology of proposed SMP amendments.	No language pertains to submittal requirements.	None.
2016			
a.	The Legislature created a new shoreline permit exemption for retrofitting existing structures to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act .	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 11, Section 2.5.1.6.j.	None.
b.	Ecology updated wetlands critical areas guidance including implementation guidance for the 2014 wetlands rating system.	Guidance taken into account during Comprehensive Update. See SMC 18.13.100 and SMP page 24-26, Section 4.4.	None.
2015			
a.	The Legislature adopted a 90-day target for local review of Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) projects.	Target omitted.	Target added at SMP Page 50, Section 5.4.11.3.d.
2014			
a.	The Legislature raised the cost threshold for requiring a Substantial Development Permit (SDP) for replacement docks on lakes and rivers to \$20,000 (from \$10,000).	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 11, Section 2.5.1.6.e.	None.
b.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for floating on-water residences legally established before 7/1/2014.	No FOWRs in jurisdiction or pre-designation areas.	None.
2012			
a.	The Legislature amended the SMA to clarify SMP appeal procedures .	No SMP appeal process identified.	None.

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
2011			
a.	Ecology adopted a rule requiring that wetlands be delineated in accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual .	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMC 18.13.100.B.1 and SMP page 24-26, Section 4.4.	None.
b.	Ecology adopted rules for new commercial geoduck aquaculture .	No saltwater shorelines in jurisdiction.	None.
c.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for floating homes permitted or legally established prior to January 1, 2011.	No floating homes in jurisdiction or pre-designation areas.	None.
d.	The Legislature authorized a new option to classify existing structures as conforming .	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 48, Section 5.4.10.3.f	None.
2010			
a.	The Legislature adopted Growth Management Act – Shoreline Management Act clarifications .	Included effective date changes and CAO alignment during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 5, Section 1.10, SMP page 24, Section 4.4.1.1.	None.
2009			
a.	The Legislature created new “relief” procedures for instances in which a shoreline restoration project within a UGA creates a shift in Ordinary High Water Mark.	Included RCW reference during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 62, Section 6.4.4.3.d.	Amended to reference WAC instead of RCW.
b.	Ecology adopted a rule for certifying wetland mitigation banks .	No wetland banks currently exist. Potential compensatory wetland mitigation contemplated during CAO Update and omitted until timely. See CAO, SMC 18.13.100.F.3, which has room for additional approaches.	None.
c.	The Legislature added moratoria authority and procedures to the SMA.	Omitted.	None.

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
2007			
a.	The Legislature clarified options for defining "floodway" as either the area that has been established in FEMA maps, or the floodway criteria set in the SMA.	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 70, Definition in Section 7.2.	None.
b.	Ecology amended rules to clarify that comprehensively updated SMPs shall include a list and map of streams and lakes that are in shoreline jurisdiction.	List included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 1, Section 1.3.2.	None.
c.	Ecology's rule listing statutory exemptions from the requirement for an SDP was amended to include fish habitat enhancement projects that conform to the provisions of RCW 77.55.181.	Included during Comprehensive Update. See SMP page 11, Section 2.5.1.6.i.	None.