

**INTEGRATED DISPOSAL FACILITY  
APPENDIX BB  
WASTE STREAM DESCRIPTIONS  
CHANGE CONTROL LOG**

Change Control Logs ensure that changes to this unit are performed in a methodical, controlled, coordinated, and transparent manner. Each unit addendum will have its own change control log with a modification history table. The “**Modification Number**” represents Ecology’s method for tracking the different versions of the permit. This log will serve as an up to date record of modifications and version history of the unit.

Modification History Table

| Modification Date | Modification Number |
|-------------------|---------------------|
|                   |                     |

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

**INTEGRATED DISPOSAL FACILITY  
APPENDIX BB  
WASTE STREAM DESCRIPTIONS**

DRAFT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT

**APPENDIX BB  
WASTE STREAM DESCRIPTIONS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

BB.1 Introduction..... 5

BB.1.1 Historical Background/Summary of Regulatory Decisions..... 5

BB.2 Description of Waste Streams..... 6

BB.2.1 Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant-Generated Waste Streams ..... 6

BB.2.1.1 Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass..... 6

BB.2.1.2 Low-Activity Waste Melters..... 6

BB.2.1.3 Secondary Solid Waste ..... 7

BB.2.1.4 Effluent Treatment Facility-Generated Secondary Solid Waste ..... 8

BB.2.2 Non-WTP-Generated Waste Streams ..... 8

BB.2.2.1 Secondary Waste Management Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste ..... 8

BB.2.2.2 Fast Flux Test Facility Decommissioning Waste..... 8

BB.2.2.3 On-site Non-CERCLA Non-Tank Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste ..... 9

BB.3 Land Disposal Restrictions Compliance..... 10

BB.3.1 Land Disposal Restrictions Treatment Standards ..... 11

BB.3.2 Land Disposal Restrictions Evaluation for Immobilized Waste ..... 11

BB.4 References..... 12

**TABLES**

Table BB-1 Integrated Disposal Facility Waste Stream Terminology ..... 6

1  
2  
3  
4  
5

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT

1 **BB.1 INTRODUCTION**

2 This appendix details the waste streams planned for treatment, storage, or disposal at the Integrated  
3 Disposal Facility (IDF) dangerous waste management units (DWMUs) that comprise Operating Unit  
4 Group (OUG) 11 of WA7890008967, Hanford Facility Resource Conservation and Recovery Act  
5 (RCRA) Permit. Each waste stream is subject to the requirements and processes described in the  
6 Addendum B, “Waste Analysis Plan” (WAP) prior to acceptance at IDF for treatment, storage, or  
7 disposal.

8 The purpose of this appendix is to provide information on the regulatory background that defines the  
9 waste streams planned for disposal at IDF, corresponding waste stream descriptions, and compliance with  
10 applicable state and federal land disposal restrictions (LDRs) of Washington Administrative Code  
11 (WAC) 173-303-140, *Land disposal restrictions*, which includes by reference, 40 Code of Federal  
12 Regulations (CFR) 268, *Land Disposal Restrictions*.

13 The waste stream descriptions documented in this appendix do not replace or supersede  
14 generator-provided information evaluated during the IDF waste acceptance process. These descriptions  
15 serve to supplement the IDF portion of the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit and clarify the disposal  
16 mission of the IDF.

17 **BB.1.1 Historical Background/Summary of Regulatory Decisions**

18 The IDF was constructed in 2006 to provide a disposal location for waste generated by the Hanford Site  
19 Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP). In 2012, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)  
20 completed the DOE/EIS-0391, *Final Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact  
21 Statement (TC&WM EIS) for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*, which analyzed three key areas of  
22 Hanford environmental remediation. The three areas included waste retrieval, disposal, and closure of  
23 177 underground tanks; the final end state of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) nuclear test reactor; and a  
24 Hanford Site disposal location for low-level waste (LLW) and mixed low-level waste (MLLW). The EIS  
25 analyzed various cleanup alternatives for each area of closure and waste management. DOE ultimately  
26 published their Record of Decision (ROD) (78 Federal Register [FR] 75913) in 2013. DOE selected a  
27 waste management alternative that identified IDF as a Subtitle C disposal facility for LLW and MLLW  
28 from WTP and Effluent Treatment Facility (ETF) operations, on-site non-*Comprehensive Environmental  
29 Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)* sources, FFTF decommissioning waste and  
30 on-site waste management waste.

31 Following the selection of IDF to provide this instrumental role in the Hanford cleanup mission, a series  
32 of assessments were conducted to evaluate disposal of the proposed wastes while minimizing risk to  
33 human health and the environment. The RPP-RPT-59958, Rev. 1A, *Performance Assessment for the  
34 Integrated Disposal Facility, Hanford Site, Washington* provided an evaluation of the long-term  
35 performance of the disposal facility to contain LLW and MLLW in a near-surface disposal facility on the  
36 Hanford Site. Through computer modeling analysis, the *Performance Assessment* simulated impacts from  
37 radiological and hazardous constituents according to a defined set of exposure pathways  
38 (i.e., atmospheric, water, inadvertent intrusion). The RPP-CALC-63176, *Integrated Disposal Facility Risk  
39 Budget Tool Analysis* provided a similar evaluation specific to groundwater impacts and protection  
40 standards for the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). These assessments consisted of  
41 modeling conducted for a series of waste streams planned for final disposal at IDF, as consistently  
42 referenced throughout the assessments and other documents discussed in this section.

1 **BB.2 DESCRIPTION OF WASTE STREAMS**

2 The IDF plans to receive MLLW and LLW generated by the WTP as a result of the vitrification process.  
3 Additional waste streams that are not a result of the WTP process are also planned for disposal at the IDF.  
4 Table BB-1 establishes the waste stream terminology that will be used throughout this appendix,  
5 consistent with the documents described in Section BB.1.1. A narrative description of each waste stream  
6 and the corresponding LDR treatment standards is provided in the subsequent sections.

7 **Table BB-1 Integrated Disposal Facility Waste Stream Terminology**

| WTP-Generated Waste Streams                                 | Non-WTP-Generated Waste Streams               |
|---|---|
| Immobilized Low-Activity Waste glass                        | Secondary Waste Management LLW and MLLW       |
| Low-Activity Waste melters                                  | Fast Flux Test Facility decommissioning waste |
| Solid secondary waste                                       | On-site non-CERCLA non-tank LLW and MLLW      |
| Effluent Treatment Facility-generated solid secondary waste |   |

Reference: RPP-RPT-59958, Rev. 01A, *Performance Assessment for the Integrated Disposal Facility, Hanford Site, Washington*

CERCLA = *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*

LLW = Low-level waste

MLLW = Mixed low-level waste

WTP = Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant

8  
9 **BB.2.1 Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant-Generated Waste Streams**

10 The WTP was constructed to treat and immobilize waste currently stored underground in the Hanford  
11 Tank Farms. As a result of related waste treatment, processing, and operating activities, the WTP  
12 generates a series of solid and liquid wastes.

13 **BB.2.1.1 Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass**

14 Immobilized low-activity waste (ILAW) glass is generated by treating low-activity waste (LAW) from  
15 the Hanford Tank Farms. This process is initiated by transferring treated LAW from the Hanford Tank  
16 Farms to the WTP LAW Vitrification Facility via an underground waste transfer line. The tank waste is  
17 combined with glass-forming chemicals and melted into a solid glass form in a process known as  
18 vitrification. This treatment process is designed to immobilize the waste constituents into a glass matrix.  
19 Following vitrification treatment in the melters, ILAW glass is poured into stainless steel cylinders,  
20 cooled, and shipped to IDF for disposal. Each ILAW glass package consists of a stainless steel cylinder  
21 with outside container dimensions of approximately 7.5 ft (2.3 m) in height and 4.0 ft (1.22 m) in  
22 diameter. It is estimated that each ILAW glass package contains 5.51 metric tons of glass. At disposal, the  
23 final waste form is anticipated to be the vitrified LAW tank waste. The vitrified tank waste represents  
24 more than 90% of the planned waste disposal volume at IDF.

25 **BB.2.1.2 Low-Activity Waste Melters**

26 The LAW melters are designed to safely manage molten glass within a rectangular shell, lined with  
27 refractory material. During use, the melter refractory package is designed to serve as a mechanical,  
28 thermal, and electrical barrier between the molten glass residing in the melter and the melter shell. An  
29 outer steel casing encloses each melter, providing local shielding and containment for the molten glass.  
30 Active cooling on the outside of the refractory package is provided by water jackets. Penetrations in the  
31 melter system are sealed using appropriate gaskets and flanges. Each LAW melter has external

1 dimensions of approximately 31 x 21 x 16 ft high, and weighs approximately 295 metric tons empty and  
2 318 metric tons with glass. The operating temperature of the melter is between 1050° C and 1200° C.

3 Due to the conditions of the vitrification process, LAW melters require periodic replacement throughout  
4 the operational lifespan of the WTP. The LAW melters requiring replacement are cooled, allowing  
5 residual molten glass to vitrify prior to disconnection and removal from the WTP LAW Vitrification  
6 Facility. The used LAW melters are expected to be MLLW and are managed as miscellaneous units prior  
7 to disposal at IDF. The actual number of used LAW melters to be disposed of at IDF will be determined  
8 by WTP operations, but it is estimated that approximately 18 used LAW melters might be disposed of at  
9 IDF (TC&WM EIS). At disposal, the final waste form is anticipated to be the used LAW melter. Grout or  
10 similar material may be added to the used LAW melters to ensure stability of the disposed waste,  
11 minimizing risk of subsidence.

### 12 **BB.2.1.3 Secondary Solid Waste**

13 Secondary solid waste (SSW) is generated as a result of WTP operations, such as waste derived from  
14 routine maintenance activities, nonroutine maintenance activities, and daily operating activities. It  
15 includes LLW and MLLW debris and non-debris waste such as melter consumables, failed process  
16 components, analytical laboratory waste, spent resins, spent carbon adsorbent, high-efficiency particulate  
17 air (HEPA) filters, and other process-related waste. Specific types of SSW described in this section are  
18 known wastes generated by the WTP. Additional types of SSW could also be generated.

19 LAW melters are fitted with various ancillary equipment (e.g., bubbler assemblies and thermocouples)  
20 that requires periodic replacement. Ancillary equipment (e.g., pumps, valves, piping, motors, and  
21 electrical equipment) no longer fit for use is removed from service and designated as waste. Equipment  
22 that has been in contact with the tank farms waste feed is packaged for transportation and will be treated,  
23 if needed, to meet LDR requirements (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF.

24 HEPA filters, high-efficiency mist eliminators, spent carbon, and catalyst material are components of the  
25 offgas treatment system incorporated to remove contaminants from the offgas streams prior to discharge  
26 of the molten glass. These components are replaced periodically to maintain treatment efficiency. They  
27 are removed from their respective equipment, packaged for transportation, and will be treated, if needed,  
28 to meet LDR requirements (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF.

29 Non-wastewater laboratory waste derived from the tank farms waste feed is designated, packaged, and  
30 shipped to IDF. Other non-wastewater laboratory waste (e.g., off-specification laboratory chemicals and  
31 spent or unused simulant) is designated and managed accordingly, which may include treatment to meet  
32 LDR requirements (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF.

33 Personal protective equipment (PPE) is worn by WTP personnel performing certain tasks such as facility  
34 maintenance, treatment process operations, and waste packaging activities. Used PPE that cannot be  
35 recycled and has had contact with the tank farms waste feed or other sources of contamination is  
36 designated, packaged for transportation, and will be treated, if needed, to meet LDR requirements  
37 (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF.

38 WTP also generates maintenance wastes such as paints, lubricants, cleaning solvents, adhesives, and  
39 off-specification chemicals. Maintenance waste that comes in direct contact with the tank farms waste  
40 feed is designated, packaged for transportation, and will be treated, if needed, to meet LDR requirements  
41 (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF.

42 At disposal, the final waste form for SSW is anticipated to be stabilized non-debris and  
43 grout-encapsulated SSW debris.

1 **BB.2.1.4 Effluent Treatment Facility-Generated Secondary Solid Waste**

2 The dangerous and mixed liquid waste streams generated at the WTP that cannot be incorporated back  
3 into the treatment process are sent to the Liquid Effluent Retention Facility/Effluent Treatment Facility  
4 (LERF/ETF) as hazardous aqueous waste, pending compliance with the LERF/ETF acceptance criteria.  
5 ETF-generated SSW results from WTP operations, which are categorized according to aqueous waste  
6 from processes, plant wastewater, and Effluent Management Facility effluents. This includes LLW and  
7 MLLW liquid effluent from the melter primary off-gas treatment system, the LAW vitrification secondary  
8 off-gas/vessel vent treatment system, process vessel washes, floor drains, sumps, and vessel vent header  
9 drains. In addition, liquid waste from WTP Pre-Treatment Facility sources such as the radioactive liquid  
10 vessels and tanks including process condensates from evaporators, caustic waste from the LAW caustic  
11 scrubber, and spent reagents from the resin addition process are routed to the LERF/ETF for treatment.

12 After treatment at the ETF, the liquid portion of the treated waste stream is disposed of at the  
13 State-Approved Land Disposal Site while the byproduct waste generated from the ETF solidification  
14 process is designated, packaged for transportation, and will be treated, if needed, to meet LDR  
15 requirements (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF. At disposal, the final waste form is anticipated to be  
16 solidified ETF-generated SSW in a cementitious waste form.

17 **BB.2.2 Non-WTP-Generated Waste Streams**

18 In addition to the disposal of WTP-generated waste streams, IDF provides further disposal capacity for  
19 non-WTP-generated waste streams to support Hanford Site cleanup.

20 **BB.2.2.1 Secondary Waste Management Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste**

21 Secondary waste includes workers' PPE, tools, and other contaminated materials from tank farms  
22 operations, as well as:

- 23 • Secondary LLW and MLLW from operation of the Low-Level Burial Grounds 200-W-254,  
24 mixed waste Trenches 31 and 34.
- 25 • Secondary LLW and MLLW from operation of the Waste Receiving and Processing Facility.
- 26 • Secondary LLW and MLLW from operation of the T Plant Complex.

27 In addition, mixed waste is generated by IDF operations including waste resulting from treatment at IDF  
28 and waste generated from operations and maintenance activities. The waste described in this section will  
29 be treated, if needed, to meet LDR requirements (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF. At disposal, the  
30 final waste form is anticipated to be grout-encapsulated SSW.

31 **BB.2.2.2 Fast Flux Test Facility Decommissioning Waste**

32 In accordance with the ROD (78 FR 75913) that published DOE's selected tank closure and waste  
33 management alternatives, entombment was selected as the final end-state for the FFTF. This includes  
34 removal of all above-grade structures and treatment of certain remaining wastes, including the  
35 remote-handled special components and bulk-sodium inventories. Sodium residuals from FFTF  
36 components (e.g., the reactor and miscellaneous traps), are planned for disposal at IDF. In addition to  
37 sodium residuals, other FFTF waste resulting from decommissioning activities is planned for disposal at  
38 IDF. The FFTF secondary waste under the Entombment Alternative includes:

- 39 • Demolition waste from the main Reactor Containment Building and Buildings 491E and 491W  
40 that cannot be consolidated in below-grade spaces.
- 41 • Radioactive and hazardous materials from other ancillary buildings.
- 42 • The reactor vessel, piping systems, and tanks that would not fit in below-grade spaces.
- 43 • Solid waste resulting from waste processing.

1 Additional types of SSW could also be generated. This waste will be treated, if needed, to meet LDR  
2 requirements (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF. At disposal, the final waste form is anticipated to be  
3 grout-encapsulated SSW.

#### 4 **BB.2.2.3 On-site Non-CERCLA Non-Tank Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste**

5 On-site non-CERCLA, non-tank LLW and MLLW is Hanford-generated waste from sources other than  
6 the tank treatment operations. Hanford activities, such as surveillance and maintenance, environmental  
7 research and development, sample analyses, liquid effluent waste treatment, infrastructure support, and  
8 environmental monitoring programs all support Hanford's cleanup mission and generate waste.

9 These wastes have been and will continue to be generated in support of historical and existing Hanford  
10 cleanup operations. Wastes contaminated with radiological and hazardous constituents pose a risk to  
11 human health and the environment until they are appropriately treated and safely disposed. Prior to  
12 disposal at IDF, all waste is subject to the acceptance process and criteria described in Addendum B.  
13 LLW and LDR-compliant MLLW may be disposed of at IDF.

14 On-site non-CERCLA, non-tank LLW and MLLW includes RCRA solid wastes (i.e., debris, non-debris  
15 wastes) contaminated with radiological and hazardous constituents, such as F-, P-, and U-listed RCRA  
16 constituents, characteristics, and Washington State Only wastes. A description of typical on-site  
17 non-CERCLA, non-tank LLW and MLLW is provided for each of the on-site generator examples below:

- 18 • **Central Waste Complex (CWC)** - The CWC is comprised of a series of storage buildings and  
19 other structures that provide segregated areas for storage of dangerous and mixed waste. Wastes  
20 at CWC include contaminated materials from routine maintenance, repair, operations, and spill  
21 cleanup. This includes contaminated soils, hazardous debris, PPE, and other materials that  
22 designate as dangerous or mixed waste when discarded.
- 23 • **Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP)** - The PFP Complex was used to conduct plutonium  
24 processing and storage supporting the production of nuclear weapons and reactor fuel. Project  
25 activities at PFP are specified by CERCLA documentation. Waste management within the PFP  
26 Complex includes waste generation from ongoing removal actions, including surveillance,  
27 maintenance, deactivation, decommission, decontamination, and demolition (D4) activities.  
28 Wastes generated at PFP include contaminated materials from routine facility operations and D4  
29 activities. Most of the waste will be LLW, but a small amount of dangerous or MLLW could also  
30 be generated.
- 31 • **T Plant Complex** - Waste management within the T Plant Complex includes storage and  
32 treatment of dangerous and mixed waste. Wastes at T Plant include contaminated materials from  
33 routine maintenance, repair, operations, and spill cleanup. This includes contaminated soils,  
34 step-off pad waste (e.g., PPE), organic and inorganic debris from cleanout activities such as the  
35 221-T Canyon (e.g., plastic, rubber, wood, paper, cloth, metals, etc.), and other materials that  
36 designate as dangerous or mixed waste when discarded.
- 37 • **Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility (WESF)** - Waste management within WESF  
38 includes storage of 1,936 capsules containing cesium and strontium radioactive mixed waste  
39 currently being stored in the Pool Cells DWMU. The capsules will be transferred to a dry storage  
40 configuration at the Capsule Interim Storage Facility. Wastes are generated from routine  
41 operations and maintenance activities, including debris, discarded PPE, and maintenance waste  
42 that designate as dangerous or mixed waste when discarded.

- 1 • **Waste Receiving and Processing Facility (WRAP)** - Waste management activities at WRAP  
2 include storage and treatment of dangerous and mixed waste. Wastes at WRAP include  
3 contaminated materials from routine maintenance, repair, operations, and spill cleanup. This  
4 includes contaminated soils, hazardous debris, PPE, and other materials that designate as  
5 dangerous or mixed waste when discarded.
- 6 • **Groundwater sampling activities** - Groundwater monitoring is conducted across the Hanford  
7 Site. Groundwater samples are analyzed to identify and evaluate contaminants in the  
8 groundwater, including concentration levels and migration behaviors. Typical wastes generated  
9 from groundwater sampling activities include contaminated sampling media, PPE, and tools or  
10 other equipment used for well drilling, sampling, or maintenance activities that designate as  
11 dangerous or mixed waste when discarded.
- 12 • **Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)** - Waste management within PNNL includes  
13 storage and treatment of dangerous and mixed waste. Wastes generated at PNNL include  
14 contaminated waste from routine operations, including from research laboratory analysis, support  
15 activities, or as a byproduct of waste handling and treatment activities. Typically, these wastes  
16 include PPE, rags, and other spent materials that designate as dangerous or mixed waste when  
17 discarded.
- 18 • **Cold Vacuum Drying Facility (CVDF)** - The primary mission of the CVDF, or 142K Building,  
19 was drying and dewatering fuel in multi-canister overpacks shipped from the K-Basins. Project  
20 activities at the CVDF are specified by CERCLA documentation. Waste management within the  
21 CVDF includes waste generation from ongoing removal actions, surveillance, maintenance, and  
22 D4 activities (e.g., rubber, glass, paper, PPE, cloth, equipment). Wastes generated at the CVDF  
23 are mostly LLW, but a small amount of dangerous or MLLW could also be generated.
- 24 • **Canister Storage Building (CSB)** - The CSB contains equipment to support the staging and  
25 temporary storage of multi-canister overpacks containing spent nuclear fuel. CSB generates  
26 mostly LLW from periodic surveillance and maintenance and may generate mixed waste  
27 (e.g., rags or similar spent materials that designate as dangerous or mixed waste when discarded).
- 28 • **Liquid Waste Processing Facilities (LERF, ETF, State-Approved Land Disposal Site**  
29 **[SALDS], and Treated Effluent Disposal Facility)** - The Liquid Waste Processing Facilities  
30 work together to process liquid waste containing chemical and radioactive contamination. The  
31 LERF is comprised of a series of retention basins designed to store liquid waste until it can be  
32 processed at the ETF. The ETF processes the liquid waste to treat chemical and radioactive  
33 contaminants. Treated effluent from the ETF is discharged to a SALDS. The Treated Effluent  
34 Disposal Facility (TEDF) collects nonhazardous treated waste effluents for disposal. Wastes  
35 generated at the 200 Area ETF include spent materials from routine operations and maintenance  
36 activities (e.g., spent media and process filters, equipment, rags, glass, etc.), waste resulting from  
37 spills, and discarded chemical products that designate as dangerous or mixed waste.

38 This waste will be treated, if needed, to meet LDR requirements (Section BB.3) prior to disposal at IDF.

### 39 **BB.3 LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS COMPLIANCE**

40 LDR requirements ensure the treatment of dangerous and/or mixed waste prior to disposal in RCRA  
41 Subtitle C land disposal units; thereby, reducing dangerous waste toxicity and the potential for leaching of  
42 dangerous waste constituents into the environment. Dangerous and/or mixed waste must comply with the  
43 LDR requirements of WAC 173-303-140, which includes by reference 40 CFR 268, prior to disposal.  
44 IDF performs limited waste treatment using the immobilization technologies according to the alternative  
45 standards for hazardous debris (Table 1, 40 CFR 268.45, *Treatment standards for hazardous debris*), as  
46 further described in Section C.3 of Addendum C. Waste requiring treatment beyond IDF's capabilities is  
47 sent to an alternate on-site facility or off-site commercial vendor to meet LDR requirements prior to  
48 disposal at IDF.

1 **BB.3.1 Land Disposal Restrictions Treatment Standards**

2 Waste that does not meet LDR treatment standards at the point of generation must be treated to comply  
3 with the applicable concentration-based and/or technology-based treatment standards, prior to land  
4 disposal. Treatment standard(s) are determined according to the designated waste codes, subcategory  
5 (if any), and wastewater or nonwastewater category assigned to the waste.

6 When the concentration-based standards apply, the constituent concentration for the waste must be at or  
7 below those specified in 40 CFR 268.40, *Applicability of treatment standards*, and/or 268.48, *Universal*  
8 *treatment standards*, for underlying hazardous constituents. If the concentrations exceed these specified  
9 limits, treatment is required prior to land disposal. When the technology-based standards are used, the  
10 appropriate treatment technology must be performed in accordance with 40 CFR 268.40 and 268.42,  
11 *Treatment standards expressed as specific technologies*, Table 1, “Technology Codes and Description of  
12 Technology-Based Standards.” For waste treated to the alternative treatment standards of 40 CFR 268.45,  
13 treatment technologies are performed in accordance with the technology description, performance and/or  
14 design and operating standard specified in Table 1, “Alternative Treatment Standards for Hazardous  
15 Debris.” For contaminated soil, the alternative treatment standards of 40 CFR 268.49, *Alternative LDR*  
16 *treatment standards for contaminated soil*, apply. If a waste is designated with multiple waste codes, both  
17 concentration-based and technology-based standards could apply and such waste would be subject to  
18 more than one LDR treatment standard.

19 In order to confirm that a treatment was effective, the appropriate qualitative or quantitative standard must  
20 be met. During the acceptance process, IDF reviews generator documentation to evaluate compliance  
21 with these treatment standards according to the following methodologies:

- 22 • Concentration-based treatment standard shall be confirmed via analysis of the treated waste.
- 23 • Technology-based treatment standard shall be demonstrated to conform to the specified  
24 technology performance standard.

25 For hazardous debris treated at IDF, effectiveness of treatment is a qualitative confirmation methodology  
26 performed according to the frequency specified in Table B-1 of the IDF WAP, in accordance with  
27 40 CFR 268.45. For waste that meets the treatment standard(s) or approved variance (Section BB.3.2)  
28 at the point of generation, a one-time notice certifying that the waste complies with 40 CFR 268 must be  
29 provided to IDF by the generator. This notice must include the required information specified in  
30 40 CFR 268.7, *Testing, tracking, and recordkeeping requirements for generators, treators, and disposal*  
31 *facilities*, and be replaced with a new notice if the waste changes.

32 LDR notification and certification statements are reviewed during the pre-shipment review to confirm the  
33 LDR-status of incoming waste to IDF, and to prepare for any additional treatment needs prior to disposal.  
34 Compliance with LDR requirements is tracked per dangerous waste container and is maintained in  
35 accordance with Section B.6 of the IDF WAP.

36 **BB.3.2 Land Disposal Restrictions Evaluation for Immobilized Waste**

37 In 2017, an LDR treatability variance for Hanford tank waste was submitted to Ecology for review and  
38 approval (17-ECD-0050). The proposed action was a site-specific variance under 40 CFR 268.44,  
39 *Variance from a treatment standard*, Section (h) that specified high-level waste vitrification (HLVIT) as  
40 the method of treatment for Hanford tank waste processed through the WTP, for all applicable waste  
41 codes. The basis for the variance was the dose associated with repeated sampling and analysis that would  
42 otherwise be required to demonstrate compliance with concentration-based LDR treatment standards. The  
43 variance was approved by Ecology in 2019 (19-NWP-165), thereby determining HLVIT as the LDR  
44 treatment standard for the Hanford tank waste treated at WTP.

45 Compliance with the approved treatment variance must be identified on the LDR notification and  
46 certification statement.

1 **BB.4 REFERENCES**

- 2 17-ECD-0050, 2017, “Submittal of Land Disposal Restriction Treatability Variance Petition for Hanford  
3 Tank Waste” (letter to A.K. Smith, Washington State Department of Ecology from  
4 K.W. Smith, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection), July 27. Available at:  
5 <https://pdw.hanford.gov/document/0069108H>.
- 6 19-NWP-165, 2019, “Re: Approval of Land Disposal Restriction Treatability Variance Petition for  
7 Hanford Tank Waste” (letter to B.T. Vance, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River  
8 Protection and V. McCain, Bechtel National, Inc. from S. Schleif, Washington State  
9 Department of Ecology), October 21. Available at: [https://pdw.hanford.gov/document/AR-  
10 03170](https://pdw.hanford.gov/document/AR-03170).
- 11 40 CFR 268, *Land Disposal Restrictions*, Code of Federal Regulations. Available at:  
12 [https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol26/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol26-  
14 part268.xml](https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol26/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol26-<br/>13 part268.xml).
- 15 *268.7, Testing, tracking, and recordkeeping requirements for generators, treaters, and  
16 disposal facilities.*
- 17 *268.40, Applicability of treatment standards.*
- 18 *268.42, Treatment standards expressed as specified technologies.*
- 19 *268.44, Variance from a treatment standard.*
- 20 *268.45, Treatment standards for hazardous debris.*
- 21 *268.48, Universal treatment standards.*
- 22 *268.49, Alternative LDR treatment standards for contaminated soil.*
- 23 78 FR 75913, 2013, *Record of Decision: Final Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental  
24 Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*, Federal Register, Vol. 78,  
25 pp. 75913-75919 (December 13).DOE/EIS-0391, 2012, *Final Tank Closure and Waste  
26 Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*.  
U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- 27 RPP-CALC-63176, 2020, *Integrated Disposal Facility Risk Budget Tool Analysis*. U.S. Department of  
28 Energy, Richland, Washington. Available at: <https://pdw.hanford.gov/document/AR-03422>
- 29 RPP-RPT-59958, Rev. 1A, 2019, *Performance Assessment for the Integrated Disposal Facility, Hanford  
30 Site, Washington*. U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.
- 31 WA7890008967, Hanford Facility Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Permit, as amended,  
32 Washington State Department of Ecology, Richland, Washington. Available at:  
33 <https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/nwp/permitting/hdwp/rev/8c/index.html>.
- 34 WAC 173-303, *Dangerous Waste Regulations*, Washington Administrative Code, Olympia, Washington.  
35 Available at: <https://app.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-303>.
- 36 303-140, *Land disposal restrictions*.