

**WASTE TREATMENT AND IMMOBILIZATION PLANT
CHAPTER 3C
WASTE ANALYSIS PLAN FOR THE DFLAW CONFIGURATION
CHANGE CONTROL LOG**

Change Control Logs ensure that changes to this unit are performed in a methodical, controlled, coordinated, and transparent manner. Each unit addendum will have its own change control log with a modification history table. The “**Modification Number**” represents Ecology’s method for tracking the different versions of the permit. This log will serve as an up to date record of modifications and version history of the unit.

Modification History Table

| Modification Date | Modification Number |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| | |

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT

1
2
3
4
5

CHAPTER 3C
WASTE ANALYSIS PLAN FOR THE DFLAW CONFIGURATION

DRAFT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

CHAPTER 3C
WASTE ANALYSIS PLAN FOR THE DFLAW CONFIGURATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3C.1 Introduction 3

3C.2 Scope 3

3C.3 Facility Description 3

3C.4 General Constituent Description 4

3C.5 Waste Pre-Acceptance and Acceptance Processes 4

3C.5.1 Generated Wastes 8

3C.5.2 Secondary Solid Waste Streams 9

3C.5.3 Variable Solid Waste Streams 10

3C.5.4 Land Disposal Restrictions Evaluation for Immobilized Waste 13

3C.5.5 Waste Feed Rejection Policy 14

3C.5.6 Discrepancy Policy 14

3C.5.7 Sampling Strategies and Frequency 15

3C.5.8 Analytical Parameters and Test Methods 15

3C.5.9 Quality Assurance/Quality Control and Data Reporting 15

3C.6 Air Emissions 16

3C.6.1 Recordkeeping 16

TABLES

Table 1 Summary of Dangerous Waste Codes for Waste Treatment Plant 4

Table 2 Method and Sample Size for Selected Constituents 5

Table 3 Secondary Solid Mixed Waste Streams 9

Table 4 Variable Solid Waste Streams 10

Table 5 Liquid Mixed Waste Streams 12

Table 6 Waste Feed Analysis, Waste Acceptance Criteria, and Nonconformance Actions 19

1 **3C.1 Introduction**

2 This Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) for the Direct-Feed Low Activity Waste (DFLAW) configuration
3 describes the sampling and analysis for dangerous waste constituents for the Hanford Tank Waste
4 Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP) to comply with the Washington State Dangerous Waste
5 Regulations contained in the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303, *Dangerous Waste*
6 *Regulations*. Records specific to WTP will be managed in the WTP Unit Specific Operating Record that
7 is kept as a part of the Hanford Facility Operating Record WAC-173-303-300(2)(b).

8 The WAP also ensures the waste analysis is comprehensive and reflects the outcome of the *Integrated*
9 *DFLAW Feed Qualification Data Quality Objectives* (DFLAW DQO Report), 24590-LAW-RPT-PENG-
10 16-003, and sample analysis performed on the tank waste feed stream to be treated by WTP. Sampling
11 and analysis criteria identified in the DQO related to meeting Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
12 (RCRA) requirements are included as an integral part of this WAP.

13 Regulatory and safety issues are addressed in this WAP and establish bounding conditions for waste to be
14 received and treated at the WTP. These boundary conditions establish limits for waste acceptance.

15 **3C.2 Scope**

16 This WAP describes the general requirements for the sample collection and regulatory analysis of waste to
17 be processed by the WTP, and the requirements for characterization of secondary wastes where process
18 knowledge is inadequate to support designation.

19 The Tank Operations Contractor will characterize the staged Double-Shell Tank (DST) waste feed in
20 conformance with the DFLAW DQO Report. Based on the results, the Tank Operations Contractor will
21 develop a waste profile specific to the waste and the planned treatment campaign.

22 Prior to transferring waste, the WTP will evaluate the waste profile and characterization data for
23 conformance with WTP waste acceptance criteria. The WTP will use this information to ensure the waste
24 feed planned for receipt meets waste acceptance criteria. Analytical results will also be used to determine
25 the appropriate treatment requirements for each campaign. The volume of the waste transferred from the
26 Tank Operations Contractor will be compared with the volume received at WTP to confirm the waste
27 transfer was completed as planned.

28 Simplified process flow figures for WTP processes are included in Appendix 4A of the DWP. The waste
29 will be combined with glass-forming chemicals and melted into a solid glass form in a process known as
30 vitrification.

31 Operation of WTP will generate secondary wastes, in solid and liquid form. These wastes will be
32 designated according to available process knowledge or will be sampled and analyzed as necessary to
33 fully address Treatment, Storage, or Disposal (TSD) unit waste acceptance criteria and to comply with
34 regulatory requirements (e.g., identification of land disposal restriction treatment requirements).
35 Secondary wastes that are not treated by the WTP will be transported to the Integrated Disposal Facility
36 (IDF), Hanford TSD Operating Unit Group 11, subject to that TSD unit's waste acceptance criteria.

37 **3C.3 Facility Description**

38 In the DFLAW configuration, treated Low-Activity Waste (LAW) from the Hanford Tank Farms is
39 transferred to the LAW Vitrification Facility via an underground waste transfer line. The DFLAW
40 configuration consists of the LAW, Analytical Laboratory (Lab), and the Effluent Management Facility
41 (EMF), and underground waste transfer lines that allow for the transfer of waste to and from the
42 LAW Vitrification Facility. The DFLAW configuration is independent of the Baseline configuration and
43 is only used prior to Pretreatment (PT) Facility startup and could also be used in the event of a prolonged
44 PT Facility outage.

1 DFLAW Plant equipment will include the following:

- 2 • Pipelines, tanks, and ancillary equipment.
- 3 • Evaporation units.
- 4 • Chemical addition equipment (including glass-forming chemicals).
- 5 • LAW melters.
- 6 • Service and utility units.
- 7 • Container management units.
- 8 • Storage facilities.
- 9 • Offgas treatment systems.

10 **3C.4 General Constituent Description**

11 WTP is specifically designed to accept waste from the DST System. The mixed waste to be treated in the
 12 DFLAW configuration is an aqueous solution containing dissolved inorganic salts such as sodium,
 13 potassium, aluminum, hydroxides, nitrates, and nitrites with some tanks having detectable levels of heavy
 14 metals such as lead, chromium, cadmium, mercury. Small quantities of ammonia and organics, such as
 15 acetone, butanol, and tri-butyl phosphate, could be present. The physical consistency of the waste in the
 16 DST System ranges from aqueous supernate to thick sludge. The only waste feed to the DFLAW
 17 configuration is aqueous supernate; tank waste sludge is not processed through the DFLAW
 18 configuration.

19

Table 1 Summary of Dangerous Waste Codes for Waste Treatment Plant

| Characteristic Waste Numbers | | | | Listed Waste Numbers | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------|------|
| D002 | D004 | D005 | D006 | F001 | F002 | F003 |
| D007 | D008 | D009 | D010 | F004 | F005 | |
| D011 | D018 | D019 | D022 | | | |
| D028 | D029 | D030 | D033 | | | |
| D034 | D035 | D036 | D038 | | | |
| D039 | D040 | D041 | D043 | | | |
| WT01 ^a | WT02 ^a | WP01 ^a | WP02 ^a | | | |

^a Washington State criteria.

20

21 **3C.5 Waste Pre-Acceptance and Acceptance Processes**

22 This section describes the actions performed before every campaign to determine candidate feed tank
 23 waste is acceptable for treatment at WTP.

24 **Step 1.** The Tank Operations Contractor submits a waste profile. The content and format of the profile
 25 will be established prior to the transfer of waste feed. The following are examples of the information that
 26 will be provided in the profile:

- 27 • General information (e.g., the identification of the source DST tank from which the transfer will
 28 be made and the date of the proposed transfer).
- 29 • Physical properties of the waste feed (e.g., the proposed volume of each batch transfer and the
 30 presence or absence of a separate visible organic layer).

- Relevant process knowledge and historical analytical data (e.g., Total Organic Carbon [TOC]).
- Dangerous waste information (e.g., the designation of dangerous waste numbers) and Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) information.

Step 2. WTP personnel perform a preshipment review by examining the waste profile and the analytical results to ensure compatibility and acceptability of the staged waste feed. If the review finds that the waste feed is acceptable, the WTP notifies the Tank Operations Contractor that the waste feed can be transferred. If the review finds that the waste feed is not acceptable, nonconformance actions are initiated.

Step 3. Non-conformance actions include a second review of the data and information and may include a second analysis of the split sample aliquot. If the waste feed continues to be outside of the waste acceptance criteria, adjustments may be used to change the waste composition such that acceptance criteria are met. Alternately, a change to the waste acceptance criteria may be made on a case-by-case basis (as long as there are no design or safety basis impacts and permit compliance is maintained). Otherwise, the waste will be refused for transfer (transferred to an alternative treatment or stored until other alternatives are identified).

Step 4. Once the transfer systems are confirmed as operational, the Tank Operations Contractor will transfer the waste feed to the LAW Concentrate Receipt Vessel (CRV) through a double-walled pipeline. The pipeline will be flushed after the transfer is complete, as appropriate.

Step 5. After waste feed is received into WTP, the Tank Operations Contractor and the WTP performs volume transfer and receipt comparison measurements to ensure that the volume of waste feed transferred is the volume of waste feed that was received.

The WTP feed acceptance criteria described in this section are consistent with those provided in the DFLAW DQO. The DFLAW DQO Report describes the constituents of regulatory concern and is designed to address the regulatory needs of the WTP in the DFLAW configuration. The waste acceptance parameters are as follows:

Table 2 Method and Sample Size for Selected Constituents

| Parameter | Analytical Method ^a | Sample Size (mL) ^b |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| TOC | 9060A or 415.2 | 3 |
| Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) | 8082A | 2 |
| pH | pH meter, 9040C | 5 |
| Compatibility | ASTM D5058-90 | 20 |
| RCRA metals | 6010D | 3 |
| Mercury (Hg) | 7407A or 7471B | 1 |
| Selected anions | 9056A | 20 |
| Ammonia/ammonium | SM 4500-NH ₃ -F or Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 350.3 | 0.5 |

^aMethods are from EPA SW-846, as amended, unless otherwise indicated. The specified method revision or newer will be used.

^bSample sizes are subject to change as long as this substitution does not affect the overall quality of the analyses.

1 Estimated Sample Size

2 Collection of samples is performed to maximize contamination control and to minimize sampler
3 exposure. It is anticipated that 170 mL of supernatant liquid per LAW sample shall be sufficient. Per the
4 sampling event requirements described in the DFLAW DQO Report, the specific sample volume and
5 number of samples to be collected are to be specified in the Tank Sampling and Analysis Plan (TSAP) for
6 the corresponding staged feed. Typically, the laboratory will specify the sample size (volume) and will
7 provide the required sample bottle(s).

8 Total Organic Carbon

9 The waste feed will be analyzed to determine the TOC as described in the DFLAW DQO. The TOC has
10 been chosen for analysis of the waste feed to ensure that the WTP is not required to comply with
11 Subpart BB of WAC 173-303-691. The analytical method is SW-846, *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid*
12 *Waste, Physical Chemical Methods* (EPA 2014), Method 9060A or Method 415.2 (EPA 1997), using
13 persulfate oxidation. The sample aliquot volume requirements for this analysis are expected to be 3 mL.
14 This method typically measures TOC to levels of about 1 ppm. The criteria for waste acceptance is
15 10 wt% TOC, or less. Method 9060A (EPA 2014) will meet the 1% detection limit, as given in Table 6.
16 The solids will be analyzed separately for TOC.

17 Polychlorinated Biphenyls

18 The Hanford tank waste supernate contains PCBs at concentrations below 50 ppm. These are regulated
19 under the *Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976* (15 USC 2601 et seq.), and codified in 40 Code of
20 Federal Regulations (CFR) 761.61, *Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) Manufacturing, Processing,*
21 *Distribution in Commerce, and Use Prohibitions – PCB Remediation Waste*, as PCB remediation waste
22 — agreed upon in the *Framework Agreement for Management of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) in*
23 *Hanford Tank Waste* (Ecology, EPA, and Department of Energy [DOE] 2000). Modification of the basic
24 extraction procedure given in this method is expected to be needed to decrease the sample size and allow
25 the extraction to be performed in a shielded glovebox. It is anticipated that a sample size of 2 mL would
26 be required for liquids. If any single liquid sample contains more than 5% solids after centrifuging, the
27 liquid and solid will be analyzed separately. The waste feed sample aliquots will be analyzed to ensure
28 that the waste feed contains less than 50 ppm PCBs. The sample will be separated into solid and liquid
29 phases and analyzed for PCBs by SW-846 Method 8082A (EPA 2014).

30 pH

31 The measurement of pH will ensure that the waste feed is compatible with the WTP materials of
32 construction and treatment processes. Method 9040C of SW-846 (EPA 2014) will be used to measure pH.
33 The estimated sample size is 5 mL. The decision criteria is greater than pH 12, as presented in Table 6.
34 With a pH above 12, the effect of chloride on uniform corrosion, pitting, or cracking is negligible.

35 Compatibility

36 The waste feed will be evaluated for compatibility with the residual aqueous waste in the LAW CRV
37 before being accepted into the WTP. These evaluations will focus on the potential for a waste stream to
38 react in an uncontrolled fashion with another waste (40 CFR 264, Appendix V, *Standards for Owners and*
39 *Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities – Examples of Potentially*
40 *Incompatible Wastes*). Although problems associated with co-mingling aqueous waste feeds are not
41 expected, this evaluation will ensure the compatibility of two or more aqueous waste feeds from different
42 DST System unit tanks.

1 Waste feed compatibility will be evaluated using American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)
2 D5058-12 (or equivalent), *Standard Test Methods for Compatibility of Screening Analysis of Waste*.
3 Consistent with as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) practices, a reduced sample volume will be
4 applied to the proposed DST System unit waste feed and the WTP feed receipt tank residual waste. This
5 method prescribes the mixing of aliquots of the two waste streams and an evaluation of any temperature
6 change of the mixture. The method also calls for a visual examination to determine whether viscosity has
7 increased. These evaluations will be performed to test for potential incompatibilities that could adversely
8 affect the management of the waste in the WTP. The waste acceptance criteria for compatibility is a
9 temperature change less than ± 20 °C.

10 The recommended sample volume for this test method is 150 to 300 mL. The sample size will be
11 decreased to 10 mL from each waste feed, for a total of 20 mL of the combined waste feeds for waste
12 minimization and will comply with the as low as reasonably achievable philosophy, referred to as
13 “ALARA.”

14 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Metals

15 The waste feed will be evaluated for toxicity characteristic metals, underlying hazardous constituent
16 metals and metals of interest for delisting of Immobilized High-Level Waste (IHLW) (delisting of IHLW
17 is the basis for the Immobilized Low-Activity Waste [ILAW] treatability variance). Waste feed metals
18 will be determined using Methods 7470A or 7471B of SW-846 (EPA 2014) for mercury and
19 Method 6010D of SW-846 (EPA 2014) for metals other than mercury. The waste feed metals are the
20 following:

- 21 • Antimony.
- 22 • Arsenic.
- 23 • Barium.
- 24 • Beryllium.
- 25 • Cadmium.
- 26 • Chromium.
- 27 • Lead.
- 28 • Mercury.
- 29 • Nickel.
- 30 • Selenium.
- 31 • Silver.
- 32 • Thallium.

33 The recommended sample size for metals (except mercury) is 3 mL and the recommended sample volume
34 for mercury is 1 mL.

35 Anions

36 Waste feed will be evaluated for the following anions using Method 9056A of SW-846 (EPA 2014):

- 37 • Fluoride.
- 38 • Chloride.
- 39 • Sulfate.

40 The recommended sample size for anions analysis is 20 mL.

1 Ammonia

2 Waste feed will be evaluated for ammonia using EPA Method 350.3 (EPA 1989) or Standard Method
3 4500-NH₃-F (APHA 1992). It is anticipated that 0.5 mL of liquid will be necessary for the analysis.

4 Table 6 provides a summary of the waste analysis parameters, analytical methods, acceptance criteria and
5 a description of action to undertake should a nonconformance occur.

6 **3C.5.1 Generated Wastes**

7 In addition to the vitrified glass product the Lab, EMF, and LAW facilities' processes will generate a
8 variety of solid, liquid, and gaseous waste streams. Some of these waste streams include waste derived
9 from the incoming feed from the DST System unit. Other wastes include spent materials used in
10 processing the waste feed, such as scrubber solutions that come into contact with the waste feed or its
11 derivatives, and contaminated equipment. General facility operations and maintenance activities will also
12 generate dangerous waste.

13 This section describes the secondary waste streams generated by the WTP, including characterization of
14 secondary waste, the associated sampling and analysis activities, and the ultimate TSD of regulated waste.
15 Sampling for secondary waste streams will be outlined in waste management procedures. Air emissions
16 standards, commonly referred to as Subparts AA, BB, and CC, are discussed in Section 3C.6. Other
17 regulated air emissions are addressed under the *Clean Air Act of 1990* (42 United States Code (USC) 7401
18 et seq.) and the *Washington State Clean Air Act of 1967* (Revised Code of Washington [RCW] 70.94
19 et seq.) permits and are not included in the following discussions.

20 Secondary liquid waste streams that will be transferred back to the DST System unit will be designated
21 with waste numbers based upon process knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is
22 inadequate. Waste transferred to the DST System unit will meet the DST waste acceptance criteria.

23 Secondary waste streams are divided into liquid waste streams (discussed in Section 3C.5.3, Liquid Waste
24 Streams) and solid waste streams (discussed in Section 3C.5.2, Secondary Solid Waste Streams).

25 Dangerous waste streams generated within the WTP will meet the waste acceptance criteria or protocols
26 established by the receiving TSD facilities' permits and operating authority. This document does not
27 outline the details of sampling and analyzing each waste stream because each TSD receiving waste may
28 update its waste acceptance criteria and thus alter the required waste analyses.

29 Also, disposable sampling equipment will eliminate the need for equipment decontamination after use,
30 and is the preferred sampling option. If the use of disposable equipment is not practical, the sampling
31 equipment will be decontaminated before and following each sample event.

32 The following general information related to waste classification applies to solid and liquid secondary
33 waste streams:

- 34 • Waste streams can be designated using process knowledge. Acceptable process knowledge
35 includes:
 - 36 • Historical analytical data.
 - 37 • Mass balance from a controlled process with a specified output for a specified input
38 (for example, by tracking waste transfer, heel, and flush water volumes, the composition of a
39 given stream can be estimated from the feed characterization data).
 - 40 • Safety Data Sheets (SDS).
 - 41 • Analytical data on the waste from a similar process.
 - 42 • For mixed waste, process knowledge could include information from surrogate material
43 (that is, a non-radioactive waste generated from an analogous activity or process).

- 1 • The listed waste numbers F001 through F005 will follow the secondary waste if the secondary
2 waste is derived from the waste feed.
- 3 • Secondary wastes not derived from the waste feed (e.g., Lab and maintenance waste) will be
4 characterized and designated with the appropriate EPA hazardous waste numbers and Washington
5 State dangerous waste numbers, and managed accordingly.
- 6 • If analyses are required for determining waste numbers for a secondary waste, laboratory
7 procedures will be prepared using applicable SW-846 methods (EPA 2014). Analytical
8 procedures will be revised, as appropriate, if SW-846 methods are revised.
- 9 • Documentation of the process knowledge or analytical data used to designate the waste numbers
10 will be maintained in the WTP operating record.

11 **3C.5.2 Secondary Solid Waste Streams**

12 Solid waste will be generated from WTP operations and includes a wide variety of wastes – such as waste
13 derived from routine maintenance activities, nonroutine maintenance activities, and daily operating
14 activities. The following sections describe the various mixed and variable solid waste types to be
15 generated. Refer to the WAP glossary for additional details on the specific waste types.

16 Solid waste streams that will come into contact with the waste feed during any stage of the treatment
17 processes may be designated as mixed waste by process knowledge or by characterization where process
18 knowledge is inadequate. EPA hazardous waste numbers and Washington State dangerous waste numbers
19 will be assigned to these mixed waste streams, based on the characterization of the waste feed.
20 Each waste stream discussed below will meet the waste acceptance criteria of the receiving facility.
21 A discussion of each of these mixed waste streams is provided.

22

Table 3 Secondary Solid Mixed Waste Streams

| Waste Stream | Characterization | Disposal |
|--|---|---|
| Out-of-service melters and ancillary equipment | Designated by process knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is inadequate. | These wastes will be packaged and transferred to the IDF, Hanford TSD Operating Unit Group 11 |
| Melter components | | |
| Offgas treatment system components: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High-efficiency mist eliminators. • High-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters. | | |
| Spent carbon and catalyst from offgas treatment | | |

23

24 Out-of-Service Melters

25 It is anticipated that melters will require replacement at some point, due to the conditions of the
26 vitrification process. Residual molten glass is vitrified. The melter will be allowed to cool and then will
27 be disconnected.

28 The LAW melters will be shipped to IDF in a shielded and seal welded melter package. Due to the
29 extreme weight of a spent or failed LAW melter treatment (if needed) or void space filling would have to
30 be completed at or near the disposal site.

1 Ancillary Equipment

2 Melters will be fitted with various ancillary equipment (e.g., bubbler assemblies and thermocouples) that
 3 will require periodic replacement. The ancillary equipment will be removed, designated by process
 4 knowledge as mixed waste, and packaged and transferred to the IDF, Hanford TSD Operating Unit
 5 Group 11. This secondary waste stream will be treated to meet LDR and disposed of at IDF.

6 Ancillary equipment (e.g., pumps, valves, piping, motors, and electrical equipment) no longer fit for use,
 7 will be removed from service and designated as waste. Equipment that has been in contact with the waste
 8 feed will be packaged for transportation and may be treated for disposal at a commercial vendor to meet
 9 the LDR.

10 Offgas Treatment System Components

11 HEPA filters, carbon, and catalyst material will be components of the offgas treatment system
 12 incorporated to remove contaminants from the offgas streams prior to discharge. These components will
 13 periodically be replaced to maintain treatment efficiency. They will be packaged for transportation and
 14 may be treated for disposal at a commercial vendor to meet the LDR.

15 Spent Carbon and Catalyst from Offgas Treatment

16 Spent carbon and catalyst from offgas treatment will periodically be replaced to maintain treatment
 17 efficiency. These materials will be designated by process knowledge or characterization where process
 18 knowledge is inadequate and managed as mixed waste. They will be removed from their respective
 19 equipment, packaged, and transferred to the IDF, Hanford TSD Operating Unit Group 11.

20 **3C.5.3 Variable Solid Waste Streams**

21 The waste streams listed in Table 4 can be radioactive waste, dangerous waste, or mixed waste, depending
 22 on the source of the waste and whether it had contact with the waste feed. The EPA hazardous waste
 23 numbers and Washington State dangerous waste numbers will be assigned to these waste streams, based
 24 on the designation of the waste by process knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is
 25 inadequate. In addition to the waste streams listed in Table 4, raw process materials and chemicals will be
 26 brought onto the WTP site. Some of these substances may subsequently become waste and will require
 27 characterization for proper waste management. The SDS provides the information necessary to properly
 28 characterize and designate a substance when it becomes a waste. Vendors will be required to provide
 29 SDS for substances that will be brought onto the WTP site, and an SDS file will be maintained by the
 30 WTP. Examples of these types of substances are process and laboratory chemicals, lubricants (e.g., oils
 31 and greases), and maintenance products (e.g., paints, solvents, and adhesives).

32

Table 4 Variable Solid Waste Streams

| Waste Stream | Characterization | Disposal |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Non-wastewater laboratory waste | Each generation event of these wastes will be individually designated by process knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is inadequate and will comply with the receiving TSD waste acceptance criteria. | The wastes will be packaged and transferred for disposal to the IDF, Hanford TSD Operating Unit Group 11. |
| Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) | | |
| Maintenance waste | | |

33

34 Subcontractors to the WTP will be required to have an SDS for the substances that they bring onto the
 35 WTP site.

1 Laboratory Waste

2 Non-wastewater laboratory waste derived from the waste feed will be designated as mixed waste by
3 process knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is inadequate, packaged, and
4 transferred to the IDF, Hanford TSD Operating Unit Group 11. Other non-wastewater laboratory wastes
5 (e.g., off-specification laboratory chemicals and spent or unused simulant) will be designated by process
6 knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is inadequate and managed accordingly.
7 These wastes will be packaged for transportation and may be treated for disposal at a commercial vendor
8 to meet the LDR.

9 Personal Protective Equipment

10 Personnel performing certain tasks such as facility maintenance, treatment process operations, and waste
11 packaging activities, may wear PPE. Used PPE may be returned to the vendor for cleaning and
12 refurbishment. Used PPE that cannot be recycled to the vendor and has had contact with waste feed or
13 other sources of radiological contamination will be designated as radioactive or mixed waste by process
14 knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is inadequate, packaged, and transferred to
15 the IDF, Hanford TSD Operating Unit Group 11. The PPE nonradioactive waste designated as dangerous
16 waste by process knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is inadequate and will be
17 packaged for transportation and may be treated for disposal at a commercial vendor to meet the LDR.

18 Maintenance Waste

19 Maintenance wastes (e.g., paints, lubricants, cleaning solvents, adhesives, and off-specification
20 chemicals) will be generated at the WTP. Maintenance waste that comes in direct contact with tank farms
21 waste feed will be designated as mixed waste. Waste contaminated by indirect contact will be designated
22 based on process knowledge as radioactive waste or mixed waste as appropriate and transferred to the
23 IDF, Hanford TSD Operating Unit Group 11. Those not derived from the waste feed and designated as
24 dangerous waste by process knowledge or by characterization where process knowledge is inadequate and
25 will be packaged for transportation and may be treated for disposal at a commercial vendor to meet the
26 LDR.

27 Liquid Waste Streams

28 The dangerous and mixed liquid waste streams generated at the WTP that cannot be incorporated back
29 into the treatment process (recycled) will be managed in accordance with the Liquid Effluent Retention
30 Facility/Effluent Treatment Facility (LERF/ETF) waste acceptance criteria (Washington River Protection
31 Solutions LLC [WRPS] 2018). The LERF/ETF will receive hazardous aqueous waste generated at WTP.
32 The waste will meet the acceptance criteria as outlined in the LERF/ETF waste acceptance criteria. The
33 LERF/ETF allow process knowledge to be used in lieu of some analyses in instances where process
34 knowledge is adequate, and a LERF/ETF representative will work with a WTP representative to identify
35 the waste acceptance criteria and analyses appropriate for liquid waste characterization.

36 Aqueous waste streams listed in Table 5 will be collected. Should sampling be required, the sample will
37 be drawn from a location identified in Figure 4A-1 of Chapter 4A as S2. Samples will be taken from the
38 effluent collection tank, in accordance with a sampling procedure which is included in the Operating
39 Record.

Table 5 Liquid Mixed Waste Streams

| Waste Stream | Characterization and Disposal | Sampling Point | Sampling Frequency |
|--|---|--|---|
| LAW off-gas condensate | The waste streams, not subject to recycling, will collect in a mixer tank, be designated as mixed waste by process knowledge and analysis, as necessary, and will be transferred to the LERF/ETF (or tanker truck, as a contingency), or DST System unit. | The streams collected in a mixed tank are grab sampled by autosampler or manually. | Sampling will be performed under the following circumstances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Before initial discharge • Updated waste profile determined • At request for resampling by the receiving facility |
| LAW melter off-gas scrubber blowdown | | | |
| Laboratory wastewater | | | |
| Plant wastewater containing waste feed | | | |
| EMF evaporator bottoms | | | |
| EMF effluent | | | |

1

2 When the WTP is configured for the DFLAW configuration, samples of condensate and liquid effluent
 3 may be taken from the appropriate EMF vessel or the effluent transfer pipeline after additions for
 4 corrosion control, and analyzed to verify the effluent meets the LERF/ETF waste acceptance criteria.
 5 Additional sampling and analysis of EMF effluent may be performed for compliance purposes, or as
 6 agreed to by WTP and LERF/ETF.

7 A discussion of each aqueous waste stream is presented below.

8 Aqueous Waste from Processes

9 Table 5 lists the aqueous waste streams that will be generated by the WTP from processing the DST waste
 10 feed, which include EMF evaporator condensate, LAW off-gas condensate, LAW melter off-gas scrubber
 11 blowdown, and EMF evaporator bottoms. The Lab will also generate aqueous waste. These waste
 12 streams will contain both radioactive and dangerous waste components.

13 Plant Wastewater

14 Wastewater will be generated primarily from decontamination and wash-down activities in the WTP. The
 15 wastewater will be designated as mixed waste by process knowledge or by characterization where process
 16 knowledge is inadequate, since it may contain dilute waste feed constituents.

17 Effluent Management Facility Effluents

18 Evaporator condensate from the EMF is transferred to the LERF/ETF by underground pipeline for
 19 treatment. Evaporator concentrate is typically recycled back to the LAW Facility or a facility operated by
 20 the Tank Farms Contractor for eventual incorporation into ILAW, but can be returned to the DST System
 21 unit for storage in the unlikely event that the waste cannot be recycled. An alternate contingency, the
 22 effluent can be transferred by tanker truck to the appropriate disposal facility. EMF effluent will be
 23 designated as dangerous waste.

1 **3C.5.4 Land Disposal Restrictions Evaluation for Immobilized Waste**

2 This section describes the approach for addressing the LDR program requirements applicable to the land
3 disposal of ILAW.

4 The LDRs are codified in WAC 173-303-140, Dangerous Waste Regulations – *Land Disposal*
5 *Restrictions*, which incorporates 40 CFR 268, *Land Disposal Restrictions*, by reference. In
6 40 CFR 268.40, *Land Disposal Restrictions – Applicability of Treatment Standards*, the treatment
7 standards for land disposal of a dangerous waste are identified as follows:

8 “(a) A prohibited waste identified in the table “Treatment Standards for Hazardous Wastes” may be
9 land disposed only if it meets the requirements found in the table. For each waste, the table identifies
10 one of three types of treatment standard requirements:

11 (1) All hazardous constituents in the waste or in the treatment residue must be at or below the values
12 found in the table for that waste (“total waste standards”); or

13 (2) The hazardous constituents in the extract of the waste or in the extract of the treatment residue
14 must be at or below the values found in the table (“waste extract standards”); or

15 (3) The waste must be treated using the technology specified in the table (“technology standard”),
16 which are described in detail in §268.42, Table 1 – Technology Codes and Description of Technology-
17 Based Standards.”

18 The total waste standards and waste extract standards require repeated sampling and analysis of the waste
19 to demonstrate that the dangerous constituents in the waste are at or below the values found in the table.
20 These standards are appropriate for a limited dangerous waste stream, but are not a good choice for a
21 mixed waste stream of extended duration because of repeated human exposure during sampling and
22 analysis. Because of this, a technology-based standard is preferable for vitrified waste.

23 The Permittees will initially sample 10% of the secondary waste streams coming from the LAW
24 operations, during processing of tank waste, to compare against the process knowledge information from
25 the flowsheet. If the sampling results for the secondary waste are consistent with the flowsheet then
26 process knowledge will be used. If the sample results do not match then sampling frequencies will
27 increase until the process knowledge information for secondary waste stream characterization is accepted
28 by IDF. If there is a significant change in either the waste feed, then the permittees will use 10%
29 sampling to re-establish the process knowledge for the secondary waste associated with treating the
30 waste.

31 Table 1 in 40 CFR 268.42, *Land Disposal Restrictions – Treatment Standards Expressed as Specified*
32 *Technologies*, includes the technology-based standard “HLVIT” (high-level waste vitrification). At the
33 request of DOE, the HLVIT treatment technology was promulgated by the EPA to treat the tank waste at
34 the Savannah River Site (refer to *Land Disposal Restrictions for Third Third Scheduled Wastes; Rule*
35 [EPA 1990]). According to the table in 40 CFR 268.40 regarding treatment standards for hazardous
36 wastes, HLVIT is the technology for the treatment of the following dangerous waste numbers from
37 radioactive high-level wastes generated during the reprocessing of fuel rods:

- 38 • D002 Corrosivity (pH).
- 39 • D004 Arsenic.
- 40 • D005 Barium.
- 41 • D006 Cadmium.
- 42 • D007 Chromium (total).
- 43 • D008 Lead.
- 44 • D009 Mercury.

- 1 • D010 Selenium.
- 2 • D011 Silver.

3 Similar to the treatment of the Savannah River Site tank waste, the treatment of the Hanford tank waste
4 will require many years of WTP operation. Rather than repeated sampling and analysis of the waste to
5 demonstrate LDR using the total waste standard or the waste extract standard, it would be appropriate to
6 treat the Hanford tank waste to a specific treatment standard (e.g., the HLVIT technology-based standard
7 described above for the Savannah River Site tank waste). WTP has submitted a petition for a site-specific
8 variance as directed under 40 CFR 268.44(h) that would specify HLVIT as the method of treatment for
9 Hanford tank waste processed through the WTP for all applicable waste codes.

10 **3C.5.5 Waste Feed Rejection Policy**

11 Confirmatory action (e.g., re-analysis and data review) will be performed for each waste feed analytical
12 result that does not initially meet the acceptance criteria presented in Table 6. Re-analysis of a sample
13 that fails an acceptance criterion will consist of two repeat analyses for the failed criteria. If both of the
14 repeat analyses pass, then the sample will be considered to meet that acceptance criteria. If one or both of
15 the repeat analyses fail, the waste will be considered nonconforming. If the waste feed is determined to
16 be nonconforming, then the WTP, the Tank Operations Contractor, or both, will determine and execute
17 corrective actions necessary to be able to transfer and process the waste feed. Such actions may include:

- 18 • Waste feed adjustment to meet the WAC requirements.
- 19 • Change acceptance criteria requirements if there is no impact to the WTP design, safety basis, or
20 permit requirements (on a case-by-case basis).

21 If no feasible alternative is found and the feed cannot be accepted, the following actions will be
22 implemented:

- 23 • Return to Tank Operations Contractor.
- 24 • Continued waste storage until an alternative is identified.

25 The nonconformance decisions, corrective actions, supporting data, and the names and titles of the
26 individuals making these decisions will be documented and retained as a quality assurance (QA) record,
27 according to procedures described in Chapter 3B, “Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Waste Analysis
28 Plan” (herein referred to as the QAPjP).

29 **3C.5.6 Discrepancy Policy**

30 If discrepancies, such as improper container labeling, improper packaging, nonconformance issues, or
31 manifest inconsistencies, are discovered during the container receipt inspection, discrepant containers will
32 not be processed for shipment until the discrepancies have been resolved using one or more of the
33 following alternatives:

- 34 • Incorrect or incomplete entries on the Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest or onsite shipping
35 paperwork can be corrected with concurrence from the generator. Corrections are made by
36 drawing a single line through the incorrect manifest entry. Corrected entries are initialed and
37 dated by the individual making the correction.
- 38 • The waste package(s) can be held and segregated from other stored waste.
- 39 • The waste package may be returned to the generator for a detailed chemical, physical, and/or
40 biological analysis of waste.

41 If the waste container is damaged to such an extent, or the waste is in such a condition as to present a
42 hazard to the public health or the environment in the process of further transportation, then a generator
43 may be contacted to provide additional information or requested to provide corrective actions, or actions
44 may be taken in accordance with Chapter 7, “Building Emergency Plan.”

1 **3C.5.7 Sampling Strategies and Frequency**

2 The samples collected for characterization of the waste feed, by the Tank Operations Contractor, for
3 transfer to the WTP will be collected as described in the DFLAW DQO. Six samples will be collected
4 from the waste feed tank for characterization of the waste stored in that tank prior to transferring the
5 waste to WTP.

6 Samples will be accompanied by a chain-of-custody at all times, ensuring accountability of the sample
7 and associated records. At a minimum, the following information must be identified on a completed
8 chain-of-custody record:

- 9 • Collector(s) names.
- 10 • Project designation.
- 11 • Unique sample numbers.
- 12 • Date, time, and location (or traceable reference thereto) of sample collection.
- 13 • Chain of possession information (i.e. signatures/printed names of all individuals involved in the
14 transfer of sample custody and sample locations, dates of receipt and relinquishment).
- 15 • Additional information regarding the sample and specific analytical instructions may also be
16 identified.

17 Sample preservation, storage, and holding times for the samples collected to support characterization of
18 the DST waste feed are discussed in the DFLAW DQO Report.

19 **3C.5.8 Analytical Parameters and Test Methods**

20 The analytical methods that will be used to obtain the necessary data for characterizing the DST waste
21 feed are addressed in the DFLAW DQO Report.

22 The WTP will contract with other laboratories to provide analytical services, as necessary, based on a
23 review of the ability of each laboratory to provide acceptable data for the types of waste handled by the
24 WTP. The review may include an onsite surveillance of the laboratory facilities, and a review of its
25 documentation. Evaluation of candidate laboratories may be based on the following criteria:

- 26 • Licenses or permits issued by the applicable government authority, allowing the laboratory to
27 handle waste samples that contain chemical and radiological components.
- 28 • Laboratory accreditation in State of Washington.
- 29 • Analytical capacity, including number and type of analytical instruments, sample preparation
30 facilities, and sufficient uncommitted capacity, or a commitment to procure sufficient capacity to
31 handle the sample load.
- 32 • Adequate number of qualified technical staff.
- 33 • Demonstrated history of performing acceptable analyses.
- 34 • Adequate sample tracking system.
- 35 • A demonstrated QA program and participation in performance evaluation.

36 **3C.5.9 Quality Assurance/Quality Control and Data Reporting**

37 Data quality for waste feed characterization are addressed in the DFLAW DQO. Additional QA and
38 Quality Control (QC) requirements for sampling and analysis in support of the characterization of the
39 waste feed and the characterization of secondary waste streams are provided in Chapter 3B of this permit.

1 3C.6 Air Emissions

2 Emissions from the stacks that vent the WTP processes will be monitored according to the provisions of
 3 the *Hanford Air Operating Permit*, as required by WAC 173-303-395(2), *Dangerous Waste Regulations –*
 4 *Other General Requirements*. Monitoring and sampling to address air emissions concerns under these
 5 permits will not be addressed in this application. However, the applicability of the air emissions
 6 requirements found in WAC 173-303 will be evaluated in the following sections. Details of the air
 7 emissions control systems for the WTP are provided in Chapter 4 of the DWP.

- 8 • *Air Emission Standards for Process Vents* (Subpart AA)
 9 WAC 173-303-690, *Dangerous Waste Regulations – Air Emission Standards for Process Vents*,
 10 commonly referred to as “Subpart AA,” regulates process vents associated with distillation,
 11 fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction, or air- or steam-stripping operations that
 12 manage hazardous wastes with organic concentrations of at least 10 parts per million (ppm) by
 13 weight. WAC 173-303-690 incorporates the provisions of 40 CFR 264, Subpart AA, *Standards*
 14 *for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities – Air*
 15 *Emission Standards for Process Vents*, by reference. The WTP does not employ any of these
 16 listed devices or processes; therefore, the WTP is not subject to regulation under Subpart AA.
 17 Refer to 24590-WTP-RPT-ENV-01-001, Rev 0, *RCRA Subpart AA Applicability*, for the
 18 regulatory analysis that resulted in this conclusion.
- 19 • *Air Emission Standards for Equipment Leaks* (Subpart BB)
 20 WAC 173-303-691, *Dangerous Waste Regulations – Air Emission Standards for Equipment*
 21 *Leaks*, applies to facilities that treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste, and regulates air
 22 emissions from equipment that contains or contacts hazardous wastes with organic concentrations
 23 of at least 10 percent by weight (wt%). WAC 173-303-691 incorporates 40 CFR 264,
 24 Subpart BB, *Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and*
 25 *Disposal Facilities – Air Emission Standards for Equipment Leaks*, by reference. This provision
 26 does not apply to the WTP, because the WTP will not accept wastes with organic concentrations
 27 at or above 10 wt%. (refer to Section 3C.5)
- 28 • *Air Emission Standards for Tanks, Surface Impoundments, and Containers* (Subpart CC)
 29 The regulations specified under WAC 173-303-692, *Dangerous Waste Regulations – Air Emission*
 30 *Standards for Tanks, Surface Impoundments, and Containers*, and 40 CFR 264, Subpart CC,
 31 *Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal*
 32 *Facilities – Air Emission Standards for Tanks, Surface Impoundments, and Containers*,
 33 incorporated by reference, do not apply to the WTP mixed waste tank systems and containers.
 34 These tanks and containers are excluded under WAC 173-303-692(1)(b)(vi) because they qualify
 35 as waste management units “...used solely for the management of radioactive mixed waste in
 36 accordance with all applicable regulations under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act and the
 37 Nuclear Waste Policy Act.” Containers or tanks bearing nonradioactive, dangerous waste
 38 (e.g., maintenance and laboratory waste) that are not excluded under WAC 173-303-692(1)(b)(ii)
 39 or 40 CFR 264.1082(c) will comply with the container and tank standards specified under
 40 40 CFR 264, Subpart CC, as applicable.

41 3C.6.1 Recordkeeping

42 Records generated for environmental compliance will be legible, identifiable, and retrievable, and will be
 43 protected against damage, deterioration, or loss. Requirements and responsibilities for record
 44 transmission, distribution, retention, maintenance, and disposal will be established and documented.
 45 The requirements contained in WAC 173-303-380(a, b, and c), *Dangerous Waste Regulations – Facility*
 46 *Recordkeeping*, are addressed in this WAP and will be managed through the waste tracking system
 47 record-keeping policies. Additional requirements listed under WAC 173-303-380 are addressed in the

1 QAPjP. Records generated to support activities described in this WAP will be considered QA records.
2 These may be in electronic or hard copy format and will be managed according to the requirements
3 outlined in the QAPjP.

4 The following documents that support this WAP are considered QA records:

- 5 • Sample information provided by the Tank Operations Contractor, including constituents of
6 concern from sampling activities, laboratory analysis results, waste certifications, and shipping
7 and transfer papers.
- 8 • Documentation used for any discrepancy resolution and nonconformance action.
- 9 • Confirmation volume measurement data, including any discrepancy resolution.
- 10 • Documentation used for LDR evaluation.
- 11 • Sampling and analytical data developed for meeting the waste acceptance criteria of receiving
12 facilities.
- 13 • Calibration data from analytical equipment.
- 14 • Shipment and waste transfer documentation, including waste profile sheets and LDR information
15 forms.

1
2
3
4
5

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT

Table 6 Waste Feed Analysis, Waste Acceptance Criteria, and Nonconformance Actions

| Parameter | Analytical Method ^a | Target Minimum Reportable Quantity | Acceptance Criteria | Nonconformance Actions |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| TOC using persulfate oxidation method | Method 9060A or Method 415.2 (EPA 1997) | 1 wt% | TOC < 10 wt% | Reject waste feed |
| PCBs | Method 8082A | 0.025-0.05 mg/L (supernate) | PCBs < 50 ppm | Reject waste feed |
| pH | pH meter, Method 9040C | Not established; per the method, bracket the expected pH of the sample by three pH units or more apart during calibration | Acceptable pH >12 | Corrective actions to correct pH |
| Compatibility | ASTM D5058-90 | Temperature Change = 1 °C | Acceptable temperature change < ± 20 °C No viscosity change adversely affecting waste processing | Corrective actions to eliminate incompatible conditions |
| RCRA Metals: Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Pb, Ni, Se, Ag, Tl ^b | Method 6010D | 0.05-015 mg/L (supernate) | per Permit Conditions Table III.10.H.D | Determination of toxicity characteristic metals, underlying hazardous constituents |
| Hg | Method 7470A or 7471B | 0.025-1.0 mg/L (supernate) | Hg < 1.4E-05 mol/mol sodium | Determination of toxicity characteristic metals |
| Anions – Ratio to Sodium (Cl, F, SO ₄) | Method 9056A | 150-500 mg/L (supernate) | Cl < 3.7E-2 mol/mol sodium F < 9.1E-2 mol/mol sodium SO ₄ < 7.0E-2 mol/mol sodium | Corrective actions to eliminate incompatible conditions |
| Ammonia / ammonium | SM 4500-NH ₃ -F (APHA 1992) or EPA Method 350.3 (EPA 1989) | 0.08-15.0 mg/L (supernate only) | Ammonia/Ammonium < 0.04 M | Corrective actions to eliminate incompatible conditions |

^a Since many types and sizes of glassware and supplies are commercially available, and since it is possible to prepare reagents and standards in many different ways, the apparatus, reagents, and volumes included in these methods may be replaced by any similar type as long as this substitution does not affect the overall quality of the analysis.

^b The acceptance criteria for RCRA metals refers to the limiting feed rate of RCRA metals into the melter, as determined by the Environmental Performance Demonstration Test. This acceptance criterion does not apply to the acceptance of DST waste into the LAW Facility.

1
2
3
4
5

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT