



WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF
Natural Resources

Forest Practices Application/Notification
Office Checklist Page 1
Northwest Region

FPA/N #: 2815399
 Received Date: 9-13-16
 WDFW Concurrence Due Date:
 WDFW Concurrence Review Completed:
 Comments Due Date: 9-27-16
 Decision Due Date: 10-13-16
 FP Forester: SKY30
 Shutdown Zone: 658
 RMAP #: R2800010L

FPA/N CLASSIFICATION: [] II III [] IVG [] IVS RMAP Exempt: [] 80/20 [] No Forest Roads [] Ag [] LLO
 Landowner Name: DNR Project Name: DF34

WRIA: Snahornish WAU: Skykomish River

WRIA: WAU:

Legal Description: Tras 7-27-10E
 Activity Type: Harvest ac Spray ac Stream Crossing(s) 1
 Road Construction 3900 ft Road Abandonment 3900 ft Rock Pit ac

ALTERNATIVE PRESCRIPTIONS
 Alternate Plan Habitat Conservation Plan
 Ten-Year Forest Management Plan Landowner Option Plan for Northern Spotted Owl
 Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Cooperative Habitat Enhancement Agreement
 Watershed Analysis:

RESOURCE REVIEW
 Unstable Slopes (Risk: Highway, Water;) Bull Trout Overlay
 Soils Map (Highly Erodible & Very Unstable) HCP Bull Trout Population
 SLPSTAB Bald Eagle nest or roost within 660 feet
 Landslide Hazard Zonation Group A or B Water Supply (TRAX code DM or MU)
 Landslide Polygon Hatchery (TRAX code S)(Name:)
 Rain-on-Snow and Outside Approved WA Even-Aged Harvest greater than 120 Acres
 Hydric Soils Ground-based Equipment on Slopes greater than 40%
 Wetland [] Forested, [] A, [] B Road Construction on Slopes greater than 65%
 In WMZ of [] A, or [] B Wetland Saltwater Islands (Name:)
 In RMZ/ELZ of Type [] S, [] F, [] N water
 Water Verification

ASSOCIATED NON-SCANNED DOCUMENTS – On file with the FPA/N at the region office.
 SEPA Checklist/Documents Large Landowner Road Maintenance and Abandonment Plan

ASSOCIATED SCANNED DOCUMENTS
 Conversion Option Harvest Plan Hardwood Conversion Form
 FPHP Plans & Specifications Wetland Mitigation Plan
 Qualified Expert Report Water Protocol Surveys [] Modification
 Natural Regeneration Plan Form#
 Shoreline Permit Water Classification Worksheet
 Marbled Murrelet Form Shade Documentation (Stream Shade Assessment Worksheet)
 FPBM Appendix(s) Watershed Analysis Worksheet
 Small Landowner RMAP Checklist DFC Printout
 CMZ Assessment Form Slope Stability Informational Form

EARR Tax Credit [] Yes No Form completed by L.U.
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:



For DNR Region Office Use Only	
FPA/N #:	2815399
Region:	NW
Received Date:	9-13-2016

Forest Practices Application/Notification Western Washington

PLEASE USE THE INSTRUCTIONS TO COMPLETE THIS APPLICATION. TYPE OR PRINT IN INK.

1. Landowner, Timber Owner and Operator

Legal Name of LANDOWNER	Legal Name of TIMBER OWNER (if different than Landowner)	Legal Name of OPERATOR (if different than Landowner)
DNR		
Mailing Address: 919 N Township St	Mailing Address:	Mailing Address:
City, State, Zip Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284	City, State, Zip	City, State, Zip
Phone (360)856-3500	Phone ()	Phone ()
Email:	Email:	Email:

2. Contact Person

Contact Person: Laurie Bergvall	Phone (360)856-3500 Email: laurie.bergvall@dnr.wa.gov
------------------------------------	---

3. Landownership information: See instructions

RECEIVED NW REGION

- a. No Yes Are you a small forest landowner per RCW 76.09.450?

SEP 13 2016

If yes, continue to b.

- b. No Yes Is your entire proposed harvest area on a single contiguous ownership consisting of one or more parcel?

4. If you are harvesting timber, enter the Forest Tax Reporting Account Number of the Timber Owner:

For tax reporting information or to receive a tax number, call the Department of Revenue at 1-800-548-8829.

5. Are you substituting prescriptions from an approved state or federal conservation agreement or watershed analysis?

- No Yes Write 'HCP' or 'Using Prescriptions' in tables that apply. Attach or reference prescriptions and/or crosswalks on file at the Region office.

See FPA Narrative

6. What is the legal description of your forest practices?

Section	Township	Range	E/W	Tax Parcel Number	County
7	27	10	E		Snohomish
12	27	9	E		Snohomish

7. When are you planning to begin work on the proposed activity? 09/26/2016

8. Is the taxpayer eligible for the EARR Tax Credit?

No Yes

9. Have you reviewed this forest practices activity area to determine whether it may involve historic sites and/or Native American cultural resources? Read the instructions before answering this question.

No Yes See FPA Narrative

10. Do you have a DNR approved Road Maintenance and Abandonment Plan (RMAP)?

a. No Yes List the RMAP number: R2800010L

If no, continue to b.

b. No Yes Is a Checklist RMAP required (see instructions)?

11. Are there potentially unstable slopes or landforms in the area of your forest practices activity?

No Yes – attach Slope Stability Informational Form. If applicable, attach geotechnical report, the SEPA Environmental Checklist, HCP, or Watershed Analysis prescriptions.

12. Are there potentially unstable slopes or landforms around the area of your forest practices activity?

No Yes – attach Slope Stability Informational Form. If applicable, attach geotechnical report, HCP, or Watershed Analysis prescriptions. See FPA Narrative

13. Is this forest practice application/notification (answer every question):

- a. No Yes Within city limits or inside an urban growth area? If yes, see instructions for additional required documents.
- b. No Yes For road work that is included in an approved Road Maintenance and Abandonment Plan (RMAP)?
- c. No Yes Within a public park? If yes, include SEPA Environmental Checklist or SEPA Determination - except for harvest/salvage of less than 5,000 board feet within a developed public park. See FPA Narrative
- d. No Yes Within 500 feet of a public park? Park name: _____
- e. No Yes In an approved Conversion Option Harvest Plan (COHP) from the local government? If yes, include a copy. This only applies to proposals within urban growth areas.
- f. No Yes Within 200' of the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) or floodway of Type S water? If yes, check with the county or city to determine whether a substantial development permit is required under the local shorelines master plan.
- g. No Yes A request for a multi-year permit? If yes, length requested: 4 years or 5 years. Not everyone qualifies for a multi-year permit. See instructions for details.

- h. No Yes An Alternate Plan? If yes, include a copy.
- i. No Yes Within 50 miles of saltwater and do you own more than 500 acres of forest land in Washington State? If yes, include Marbled Murrelet Form or attach/reference HCP prescriptions.
- j. No Yes In or directly adjacent to a potential Channel Migration Zone (CMZ)? If yes, include CMZ Assessment Form. Attach/reference applicable HCP and/or Watershed Analysis prescriptions.

***** **If not working in or over typed waters, skip to Question 18** *****

You are required to verify Type Np and Ns water types within 200 feet of your proposed forest practices activities prior to submitting a Forest Practices Application / Notification. Use the Additional Information section, additional pages, the Water Type Classification Worksheet, and/or a Water Type Modification form to explain how you verified water types. See Water Typing Requirements in the instructions:

Prior to answering Questions 14-17 in this section please refer to the Forest Practices Application Instructions and Forest Practices Board Manual Section 5.

14. Are you proposing any of the following projects NOT permitted by current HPAs from WDFW?
- a. No Yes Installing, replacing, or repairing a culvert at or below the bankfull width of Type S or F water(s) that exceeds a five percent gradient?
 - b. No Yes Constructing, replacing, or repairing a bridge at or below the bankfull width of unconfined streams in Type S or F water(s)?
 - c. No Yes Placing fill material within the 100-year flood level of unconfined streams in Type S or F water(s)?
15. Have you consulted with DNR and/or WDFW about the proposed hydraulic project(s) in or over Type S or F water? No Yes
16. If installing, replacing, removing, or maintaining structures in or over any typed water, complete the table below. Type S and F waters require detailed plan information. Provide plan details in Question 31 or attach plan to the FPA/N. Provide crossing locations and identifiers on your Activity Map. A detailed plan with profiles may also be required for more complex hydraulic projects in Type N Waters per WAC 222-24-042(2).

Crossing Identifier (letter and/or number)	Water Type (S, F, Np, Ns)	*Existing HPA Number (if applicable)	HPA Expiration Date (if applicable)	Planned Activity (install, replace, remove, temporary, structure maintenance)	Structure (culvert, bridge, ford**, puncheon, arch, other)	Proposed Size (dimensions of structure)	Culvert Design Method (No-slope, Stream-sim, Hydraulic, Other) (F and S only)	Channel Bed Width (ft) (F and S only)	Stream Gradient (%) (F and S only)	RMAP Project (Y or N)	FFFP Project (Y or N)
CI	4	NA	NA	temp install	culvert	48"x20'	NA	NA	NA	N	N

*Existing HPAs issued by WDFW will be complied and enforced by WDFW until expiration. Plan details are not required for hydraulic projects permitted with an existing HPA (see instructions).

** Fords and equipment crossings on Type S and F Waters may result in an unauthorized incidental take of certain endangered or threatened fish species. For more information, see 'Background for the State's Incidental Take Permits for certain endangered and threatened fish species' following Question 24 of the FPA/N Instructions.

17. If conducting any of the following activities in or over typed water, complete the table below. Some activities will require identifiers on the Activity map and/or more information in Question 31. See instructions.

*Activity	Type S Water	Type F Water	Type Np Water	Type Ns Water
Equipment Crossing**			X	
Suspending Cables				
Cable Yarding				
LWD Placement/Removal				
Beaver Dam Removal				
Felling and Bucking				
Other (describe in Question 31)				

*Existing HPAs issued by WDFW will be complied and enforced by WDFW until expiration. Plan details are not required for hydraulic projects permitted with an existing HPA (see instructions).

** Fords and equipment crossings on Type S and F Waters may result in an unauthorized incidental take of certain endangered or threatened fish species. For more information, see 'Background for the State's Incidental Take Permits for certain endangered and threatened fish species' following Question 24 of the FPA/N Instructions.

18. If constructing or abandoning forest roads, complete the table below. Show the road locations and identifiers on the Activity Map. Include abandonment plans for temporary roads and abandonment projects.

Road Identifier (name, number)	Road Construction		Road Abandonment	
	Length (feet)	Steepest Side-slope (%)	Length (feet)	Abandonment Date
DF-34	3,900	15	3,900	12/31/2017

19. If depositing spoils and/or expanding or developing a rock pit for forestry use, complete the table below. Show locations and identifiers on the Activity Map. See FPA Narrative

Spoil Area Identifier (letter, number)	Amount of Spoils Deposited (cubic yards)	Rock Pit Identifier (name, number or letter)	Acres of New Rock Pit Developed	Acres of Existing Rock Pit Expanded

20. If operating in or within 200 feet of a wetland, complete the table below. Show the boundaries of each wetland, along with its identifier, and WMZ on the Activity Map. See instructions for information.

Wetland Identifier (number, letter)	Wetland Type (A, B, or Forested)	Planned Activities in Wetland	Planned Activities in Maximum Width WMZ	Total Wetland Area (acres)	How many acres will be drained?	How many acres will be filled?

***** If not harvesting or salvaging timber, skip to Question 29 *****

21. If harvesting or salvaging timber, complete the table below. Show all harvest areas and unit numbers on the Activity Map. For even-aged harvest units, also show surrounding stand information on the Activity Map. See FPA Narrative

Unit Number	Harvest Type (Even-aged, Uneven-aged, Salvage, Right-of-Way)	Biomass Harvest (Y/N)	Harvest Method (Rubber Tired Skidder, Tracked Skidder, Dozer, Shovel, Full Suspension Cable, Lead-end Suspension Cable, Helicopter, Animal, Chipper-forwarder, Slash Bundler)	Acres to be Harvested	Volume to be Harvested (mbf)	Volume to be Harvested (biomass tonnage)	Volume to be Harvested (%)	Steepest Slope in Harvest Unit (%)

22. Reforestation. Check the appropriate box(es).

- Planting. Tree Species: _____
- Natural. Include a Natural Regeneration Plan
- Not required because of one or more of the following:
- I am converting some or all of this land to non-forest land in the next 3 years or lands are exempted under WAC 222-34-050.
 - Individual dead, dying, down, or wind-thrown trees will be salvaged.
 - Trees are removed under a thinning program reasonably expected to maximize the long-term productivity of commercial timber.
 - I am leaving at least 100 vigorous, undamaged, and well-distributed saplings or merchantable trees per acre.
 - An average of 190 tree seedlings per acre are established on the harvest area and my harvest will not damage it.
 - Road right-of-way or rock pit development harvest only.

**** **If you own MORE than 80 forested acres in Washington, skip to Question 27** ****

23. Are you using the exempt 20-acre parcel riparian management zone (RMZ) rule on type S, F, or Np waters?

- No If no, continue to Question 27.
- Yes If yes, continue to Question 24. See instructions for qualifications and information.

24. Choose the answer below that best fits your situation. Show all RMZs on the Activity Map.

- a. ALL of the following apply to me and my land: (If no, answer b.)
- Between June 5, 2006 and today's date I have always owned less than 80 acres of forestland in Washington.
 - Between June 5, 2006 and today's date this parcel has always been 20 acres or less of contiguous ownership. See RCW 76.09.020 for definition of 'contiguous'.
 - Between June 5, 2006 and today's date this parcel has always been owned by me or someone else that has owned less than 80 acres of forestland in Washington.

b. ONE OR MORE of the following apply to me and/or my land (check all that apply):

- I currently own more than 80 acres of forestland in Washington.
- Between June 5, 2006 and today's date I have owned more than 80 acres of forestland in Washington.
- Between June 5, 2006 and today's date this parcel has been a part of more than 20 acres of contiguous ownership. See RCW 76.09.020 for definition of 'contiguous'.
- Between June 5, 2006 and today's date this parcel has been owned by someone that has owned more than 80 forested acres in Washington.

If any of the statements in (b) above apply AND you use the 20-acre exempt RMZ rule, you are NOT authorized under the State's Incidental Take Permits (see explanation in FPA instructions under Questions 24).

25. If harvesting within 115 feet of a Type S or F water on an exempt 20-acre parcel, complete the table below. Show RMZs and stream segment identifiers on the Activity Map. If you are harvesting within 75 feet or within the maximum RMZ (whichever is less), stream shade must be assessed and met following harvest. Describe how stream shade was determined to be met, using the 'Stream Shade Assessment Worksheet' if necessary.

Stream Segment Identifier (letter)	Water Type (S, F)	Segment Length (feet)	Bankfull Width (feet)	Maximum RMZ Width (feet)	Are you harvesting within the maximum RMZ? (Y or N)

26. Are you harvesting within 29 feet of a Type Np water on a 20-acre exempt parcel?

- No Continue to Question 29.
- Yes See instructions and describe leave tree strategy in Question 31. Then continue to Question 29.

27. If harvesting within 200 feet of any of Type S or F water, complete the table below. Include DFC for all inner zone harvests unless you have an HCP prescription. Show RMZs, CMZs, and stream segment identifiers on the Activity Map. If you are harvesting within 75 feet or within the maximum RMZ (whichever is less), stream shade must be assessed and met following harvest. Describe how stream shade was determined to be met, using the 'Stream Shade Assessment Worksheet' if necessary.

Stream Segment Identifier (letter)	Water Type (S or F)	Site Class (I - V)	Stream Width (feet)	Is there a CMZ? (Y/N)	RMZ Harvest Code(s) (see instructions)	DFC Run Number	Total width of RMZ (feet)

15399

28. If harvesting within 50 feet of Type Np water, complete the table(s) below. Show RMZs and stream segment identifiers on the Activity Map. See Aquatics Addendum

Stream Segment Identifier (letter)	Total Stream Length in Harvest Unit (feet)	Length of No-Harvest, 50-foot Buffers in Harvest Unit (feet)	Stream Segment Identifier (letter)	Total Stream Length in Harvest Unit (feet)	Length of No-Harvest, 50-foot Buffers in Harvest Unit (feet)

29. How are the following marked on the ground? (Flagging, paint, road, fence, etc.)

Harvest Boundaries: _____

Clumped Wildlife Reserve Trees/Green Recruitment

Trees: _____ Right-of-way limits/road

centerlines: _____ F Stream Crossing Work: B

L IE L A G G N G _____ Riparian Management

Zone Boundaries and Leave/Take Trees: _____ Channel Migration

Zone: _____ Wetland

Management Zone Boundaries and Leave/Take Trees: _____

30. Are you converting the land to non-forestry use within 3 years of harvest?

No Yes If yes, include your SEPA Determination and/or SEPA checklist.

31. Additional Information (attach additional pages if necessary): For hydraulic projects in or over Type S, F, or complex N water(s) see instructions for required plan information.

See FPA Narrative

32. We acknowledge the following:

- The information on this application/notification is true.
- We understand this proposed forest practice is subject to:
 - The Forest Practices Act and Rules AND
 - All other federal, state or local regulations.
- Compliance with the Forest Practices Act and Rules does not ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act or other federal, state or local laws.
- If we said that we would not convert the land to non-forestry use, the county or city may deny development permits on this parcel for the next 6 years.
- The following may result in an unauthorized incidental take of certain endangered or threatened fish species:
 - Conversion of land to non-forestry use.
 - Harvesting within the maximum RMZ on a 20-acre exempt parcel that was acquired after June 5, 2006.
 - Equipment Crossings/Fords in or over Type S and F Waters.
- Inadvertent Discovery – Chapters 27.44, 27.53, 68.50 and 68.60 RCW
 - If you find or suspect you have found an archaeological object or Native American cairn, grave, or glyptic record, immediately cease disturbance activity, protect the area and promptly contact the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation at 360 586-3077.
 - If you find or suspect you have found human skeletal remains, immediately cease disturbance activity, protect the area, and contact the County Coroner or Medical Examiner and local law enforcement as soon as possible. Failure to report human remains is a misdemeanor.

The landowner understands that by signing and submitting this FPA, he/she is authorizing the Department of Natural Resources to enter the property in order to review the proposal, inspect harvest operations, and monitor compliance for up to three years after its expiration date. RCW 76.09.150

Signature of LANDOWNER  Print Name: Benjamin Hale Date: 9/12/2016	Signature of TIMBER OWNER* (If different than landowner) Print Name: Date:	Signature of OPERATOR (If different than landowner) Print Name: Date:
---	---	--

** NOTE: If you are a "Perpetual Timber Rights Owner," and are submitting this without the Landowner's Signature, provide written evidence the landowner has been notified.*

Please make a copy of this FPA/N for your records. If this FPA/N contains a hydraulic project requiring WDFW concurrence review, it will not be available online for public review until after the WDFW concurrence review period.

15399

FPA Narrative

Q. (21)(31): This application is for a project to construct 3,900 feet of the DF-34 road grade, which was recently abandoned no earlier than November 2015, in order to access an area of the forest by highway vehicle to conduct trail construction and have adequate safety access for trail crews. Zero trees will be cut in this construction thus no harvest of any type will occur. One culvert will be installed over seasonal stream. The road will not need any widening for any purpose. The steepest side slope within the project area is 15%. Anticipated construction will start September 2016 and complete November, 2016.

Q 5: These planned activities are consistent with The Department's HCP, dated September 1997, and the associated Incidental Take Permits. Additionally, attached are DNR proprietary HCP/FPA substitute Addendums for Aquatic Resources, Northern Spotted Owl and Marbled Murrelet. This proposal also complies with the letter of agreement dated February 23, 2007 between DNR state lands and the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

Q 9: During the DF-34 Stream Restoration (FPA# 2814850) the area was assessed by screening DNR Corporate Cultural and Historic GIS data layers. The Tulalip Tribes' historical representative was contacted and responded stating there were "[no] archaeological concerns for the project" (refer to email correspondence). During project implementation the Cultural Resources Inadvertent Discovery Guidance will be initiated upon the unearthing of skeletal material of human or unknown origin, or unearthing cultural artifacts, features, or evidence of cultural materials.

Q 12: Forest Practices Landslide Hazard Zones and Landslide Inventory layers were screened. Nothing in the area of the project site was identified.

Q 13(c)(d): Though Reiter Foothills is a working forest, there are designated Off-Road-Vehicle trails interspersed throughout, with several ATV and Single-Track (i.e. All-Terrain-Vehicle and motorbike) trails crossing near (i.e. within 500') the planned road construction.

Q 19: Rock for road construction and surfacing may be imported from a local rock quarry if necessary.

DNR Trust Forestland HCP Water Typing Key

ADDENDUM TO INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE FOREST PRACTICE APPLICATION

STREAM(S) ID: A

DATE: July 14, 2015

Within your road construction and harvest area, you need to physically review these streams on the ground to determine if they meet the criteria of Type 3 water. Refer to DNR Trust Forestland HCP Water Typing System to determine Type 1 and 2 waters.

1. Were any fish observed in the stream segment, or are fish known to use this stream segment?

Yes. Type 3 stream.
 No. Go to question # 2.

2. Has the stream been surveyed?

Yes. Attach the survey data to the Application/Notification.
 Fish found. Type 3 stream.
 No fish. Is the average width of the stream segment two feet (2') or wider between the ordinary high water marks?

Yes. Type 4 stream.
 No. Type 5 stream.
 No. Go to question # 3.

3. Is the average width of the stream segment two feet (2') or wider between the ordinary high water marks?

Yes. Go to question # 4.
 No. Type 5 Stream.

4. Is the gradient of the stream segment 16% or less?

(Example: 16' fall in elevation over 100 feet of stream = $16/100 = .16$ or 16%).

Yes. Type 3 stream.
 No. Go to question # 5.

See Attached ICN

5. Is the average gradient of the stream segment greater than 16% and less than or equal to 20%?

Yes. Go to question # 6.
 No.. Type 4 stream.

6. Is the contributing basin (watershed) size to the stream segment greater than 50 acres?

Yes. Type 3 stream.
 No. . Type 4 stream.

Definitions:

Stream Width: To determine the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) of the stream(s), observe the break between the water influence zone and upland vegetation on the stream bank; this is usually the spring high water mark. Then measure stream width between the OHWMs on either side of the stream at 50 foot intervals along the stream bank for a minimum distance of 500 feet. This determines the average width of the stream. For further information see page M-11 of the board manual.

Stream Gradient: The gradient of a stream is defined as the inclination or rate of fall of a stream bed, expressed as a percentage. The average gradient of a stream is determined by calculating the inclination of individual sub-reaches over a minimum distance of 500 feet along a stream or to a point where distinct gradient changes occur. For further information see page M-14 of the board manual (only use the method for field measurements; do not use the mapping method).

Note: Streams with widths of twenty feet (20') or greater or lakes, ponds, or impoundments having a surface area of 1 acre or greater at seasonal low water, may be type 2 waters.

1-14-08

315399

**Forest Practices Application/Notification Addendum
DNR Proprietary HCP, WAC Replacement Summary for Aquatic Resources, 2008
Five West-side Planning Units, Excluding the OESF**

Please refer to the DNR Proprietary HCP Substitution Agreement for Aquatic Resources, 2008. Please check all HCP prescriptions and/or activities, which are relevant to this proposal and describe the management prescriptions and final stand composition at the end of this checklist.

NOTE: When assessing hydrologic maturity for each sub-basin inside the rain-on-snow zone, DNR staff will use the most updated data layer delineating Watershed Administrative Units as designated by Forest Practices.

- Assessing Hydrologic Maturity in the Rain-On-Snow (ROS) Zone (Refer to item A in the Agreement Memo). If the activity lies within the ROS zone and subbasin will be managed for ROS, fill out the following table. If within ROS zone, but subbasin will not be managed for ROS, describe why in additional information section below.

1. SUB-BASIN NAME	2. TOTAL ROS ACRES (DNR) WITHIN SUB-BASIN	3. HYDRO MATURE TARGET ACRES (2/3 of Column 2)	4. CURRENT DNR SUB-BASIN ACRES IN HYDRO MATURE FOREST IN ROS	5. ACRES OF HYDRO MATURE FOREST TO BE REMOVED	6. SUPRPLUS (+) OR DEFICIT (-) ACRES AFTER ACTIVITY
Skykomish River WAU, subbasin 2*					

- Wetlands Protection, road construction within wetlands or wetland buffers, requires mitigation. (Refer to item B in the Agreement Memo). If this activity will include road construction within a wetland or WMZ, describe the type of wetland, potential loss of wetland function and how and where the loss of function will be mitigated.
- Harvesting within Forested Wetlands. (Refer to items C & E in the Agreement Memo). Describe the remaining stand characteristics within the wetland and map any forested wetlands greater than 3 acres.
- Wetland Management Zones. (Refer to item D in the Agreement Memo). Describe the site index and WMZ width. If harvesting within the WMZ, describe the remaining stand characteristics within the WMZ.
- Riparian Management Zones for Type 1, 2 and 3 Waters (Refer to item F and Appendix 1 in the Agreement Memo). Describe the site index, RMZ width and if a wind buffer was applied. Describe if the RMZ begins from the outer edge of a CMZ or 100-year floodplain and how they were typed.
- Riparian Management Zones for Type 4 and 5 Waters (Refer to item G and Appendix 1 in the Agreement Memo). Describe any special protection for Type 5 waters.
- Harvesting or Salvaging within Type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Riparian Management Zones. (Refer to item F-J and Appendix 3 in the Agreement Memo). If harvesting, describe the general

HCP Riparian Forest Restoration Strategy management scenario under which the proposal's riparian stand will be managed. Describe stand treatment including removals, down wood and snag recruitment and type of activities. Describe post-harvest stand; how it meets the management parameters of the general management scenario, what species composition and diameter classes will remain, trees per acre, basal area, relative density. If salvaging, describe how you will be meeting the RDFC conditions, what you will retain and removals and other salvage/restoration conditions described within the Ecosystem Services Section approved site specific restoration plan (and/or attach plan).

Please provide any requested additional information below. If varying from standard HCP guidance, attach concurrence/variance approval from Land Management Division and/or Federal Services and discuss below (e.g. research).

Stream Segment Identifier or Wetland Identifier	Water Type or Wetland "forested or open water"	Site Class FP Base Map / Other source	Stream Width (feet) or Wetland Size	Is there a CMZ?	Total Width of RMZ/WMZ FP width / Actual width (feet)	Wind Buffer?
A	4	III	3.5'	N/A	100	N/A

*A maximum of 31 road-side trees will be cut from sub-basin 2 in hydrologically mature forest within the Skykomish River WAU. The cut trees will remain on site and be placed on the road prism to block traffic and provide large woody debris (LWD) after project completion.

Stream A would have a 100-foot buffer, outward from where the channel will be placed. Operations will occur within this buffer area. The trees cut will remain on site to facilitate the construction of the road grade, provide access for the stream restoration, re-used as LWD, and to assist in the road abandonment. As such, buffer areas are not marked.

Using the Assessing Hydrologic Maturity Report for the WAU, it was determined that 30.75% of the acreage of sub-basin 2 is in the rain-on-snow and snow-dominated (ROS/SD) zone. In accordance with HCP strategies, the sub-basin will not be managed for ROS.

**Forest Practices Application/Notification Addendum
DNR Proprietary HCP Implementation Checklist for the Marbled Murrelet, 2013
North Puget Planning Unit Only**

Refer to the DNR Proprietary HCP Implementation Agreement for the Marbled Murrelet 2013 and North Puget Planning Unit (NPPU) memos dated 02/23/2007 and 06/12/2009. Marbled Murrelet GIS habitat and occupied site delineation is available at: SHARED_LM.MM_POLICY and on the Quick Data Loader and State Uplands Viewing Tool titled "State Lands – Marbled Murrelet – HCP Policy".

1. Is the proposed Forest Practices activity within potential habitat, occupied site, Criteria 3 newly identified habitat or suitable habitat not available for release¹?
 Yes, proposal is **inconsistent** with current HCP strategy. **Stop Proposed Activity** or document in Question #6 specifics of proposal and Forest Resources Division approval if intending to proceed.
 No, not within suitable habitat not available for release, potential, occupied, or Criteria 3 newly identified habitat. Go to Question #2.

2. Is the proposed activity within releasable¹ suitable habitat according to the NPPU memo (dated 6/12/2009)?
 Yes, document in Question #6 the WAU name, **total** suitable MM habitat acres allowed to be harvested within the WAU and the **total** acres to date of suitable MM habitat harvested within the WAU after this proposed harvest. Go to Question #3.
 No, proposal is not within releasable suitable habitat. Go to Question #3.

3. Is the proposed activity located within unsurveyed Criteria 1 newly identified habitat that is within 0.25 miles of an occupied site, or unsurveyed Criteria 2 newly identified habitat?
 Yes, proposal is **inconsistent** with the current HCP strategy. **Stop Proposed Activity** or document in Question #6 specifics of proposal and Forest Resources Division approval if intending to proceed.
 No, go to Question #4.

4. Is the proposed activity located within surveyed and unoccupied Criteria 1 or 2 newly identified habitat, or unsurveyed Criteria 1 that is greater than 0.25 miles from an occupied site and is the activity only for operational access (roads or yarding corridors) through this habitat type?
 Yes, proposal is consistent with the current HCP. Timing restrictions are applied when operating within this habitat and remaining habitat is deferred from harvest. Consult with Region biologist/specialist for recommendations on minimizing platform tree removal. Document in Question #6 the type of operational access, but first go to Question #5.

¹ Some suitable habitat may be available for harvest (releasable) if 50% of the habitat will remain within the WAU and it is greater than 0.5 miles from an occupied site and identified per NPPU memo dated 6/12/2009. Criteria 1 habitat is: Habitat \geq 5 acres but \leq 10 acres with \leq 10 platforms per acre OR Habitat $>$ 10 acres but \leq 20 acres with \leq 5 platforms per acre. Criteria 2 habitat is: Habitat \geq 5 acres but \leq 10 acres with $>$ 10 platforms per acre OR Habitat $>$ 10 acres but \leq 20 acres with $>$ 5 platforms per acre OR Habitat $>$ 20 acres with \leq 15 platforms per acre. Criteria 3 is: Habitat \geq 20 acres with $>$ 15 platforms per acre.

No, go to Question #5.

5. Is the proposed harvest activity within ¼ mile of any marbled murrelet occupied site(s), Criteria 3 newly identified habitat or unsurveyed suitable MM habitat²?

Yes, consult with Region biologist/specialist for recommendations on buffers and timing restrictions. Go to Question #6 and document type of buffer of occupied site or suitable habitat.

No, proceed with activity; go to Question #6 if any documentation is required.

6. This question or section is for additional information the checklist suggested you provide in previous questions or any additional information you think is relevant to the proposal. If you were able to answer the previous questions without a "Stop Proposed Activity" notification then your proposal is consistent with the HCP and may proceed. Otherwise, more documentation is required here. If varying from current HCP guidance, attach consultation agreement from Forest Resources Division and/or USFWS and discuss below.

Does not apply.

² "Unsurveyed suitable habitat" refers to potential habitat identified per the NPPU 2007 memo that has been field verified as suitable, but not yet surveyed.

**Forest Practices Application/Notification Addendum
DNR Proprietary HCP Implementation Checklist for the
Northern Spotted Owl, 2008 (all HCP planning units & OESF)**

Refer to the DNR Proprietary HCP Implementation Agreement for the NSO, 2008.

1. Is the Forest Practice activity within a NRF Management Area?
 Yes, Go to #2.
 No, Go to #6.
2. Is the Forest Practice activity within a designated 500-acre Nest Patch?
 Yes, No timber harvest allowed, harvest deferment of Nest Patches, refer to Substitution Agreement, Section I.A. **End Checklist.** Maintenance of existing roads is permitted. Describe road maintenance activity in Question #13.
 No, Go to #3.
3. Is the Forest Practice activity within 0.7 miles of a spotted owl nest site (status 1 or 2)?
 Yes, Apply timing restrictions; refer to Substitution Agreement, Section I. Go to #4.
 No, Go to #4.
4. Is the SOMU where the Forest Practice activity is located, above the threshold of NRF habitat?
 Yes, Proceed with the activity, ensuring that habitat within the SOMU will not fall below the target amount. Please describe in Question #13; if the activity will be harvesting habitat or non-habitat, whether it is an enhancement activity or even-age harvest and how many acres or percentage of NRF habitat will remain within the SOMU after harvest. Go to #13.
 No, Go to #5.
5. Is the Forest Practice activity within suitable submature habitat or better or "next best"?
 Yes, Ensure NRF habitat remains after completion of the harvest activity or that the activity will not increase the length of time for the target amount to reach a suitable habitat condition. Please describe in Question #13, type of activity, how habitat will be maintained or next best stands enhanced and what the final stand condition will be. Go to #13.
 No, Ensure that target amount of habitat within the SOMU will not take longer to achieve after activity. Please describe in Question #13 how management activity will maintain and/or achieve the NRF target amount. Go to #13.
6. Is the Forest Practice activity within a Dispersal or DFC Management Area?
 Yes, Go to #7.
 No, Go to #10.
7. Is the Forest Practice activity within 0.7 miles of a spotted owl nest site (status 1 or 2)?
 Yes, Apply timing restrictions; refer to Substitution Agreement, Section I. Go to #8.
 No, Go to #8.
8. Is the SOMU where the Forest Practice activity is located, above the threshold of dispersal habitat?
 Yes, Proceed with the activity, ensuring that habitat within the SOMU will not fall below the target amount. Please describe in Question #13; if the activity will be harvesting habitat or non-habitat, whether it is an enhancement activity or even-age harvest and how many acres or percentage of dispersal habitat will remain within the SOMU after harvest. Go to #13.

- No, Go to #9.
9. Is the Forest Practice activity within suitable dispersal habitat or better or “next best”?
- Yes, Ensure dispersal habitat remains after completion of the harvest activity or that the activity will not increase the length of time for the target amount to reach a suitable habitat condition. Please describe in Question #13, type of activity, how habitat will be maintained or next best stands enhanced and what the final stand condition will be. Go to #13.
- No, Ensure that target amount of habitat within the SOMU will not take longer to achieve after activity. Please describe in Question #13 how management activity will maintain and/or achieve the dispersal target amount. Go to #13.
10. Is the Forest Practice activity located within the OESF?
- Yes, Go to #11.
- No, Go to #12.
11. Landscape planning has been initiated, but has it been completed?
- Yes, Proceed with the activity, ensuring that all commitments of the Landscape Plan are fulfilled. Please describe in Question #13; if the activity will be harvesting habitat or non-habitat, whether it is an enhancement activity or even-age harvest and how many acres or percentage of suitable habitat will remain within the SOMU after harvest. Go to #12.
- No, Proceed with the activity, ensuring that the total amount of habitat harvested since HCP implementation will not exceed the allowable amount as described within the substitution agreement, Section II. Please describe in Question #13; if the activity will be harvesting habitat or non-habitat, whether it is an enhancement activity or even-age harvest and how many acres or percentage of suitable habitat will remain within the SOMU after harvest. Go to #12.
12. Is the Forest Practice activity located within a Status 1 or 2 spotted owl management circle based on the WDFW database?
- Yes, Apply harvest timing restrictions to activities within the best 70-acre core around the site center; refer to Substitution Agreement, Section III. Include location of best 70-acre core on Forest Practices Map. Go to #13.
- No, Go to #13
13. Provide any additional information or details requested from previous questions on the following lines. If no additional information is required, simply state “not applicable” below. Otherwise, include the SOMU name(s) when necessary if activity is within NRF or dispersal management areas or OESF and how habitat will be maintained or enhanced, etc. If varying from standard HCP guidance, attach concurrence/variance approval from Land Management Division and/or Federal Services and discuss below.

End checklist.

SOMU name Wallace River

See email from Region Biologist dated 9/8/2016, available at NW Region office.

CORRECTED



ICN No. 135446	Legal Subdivision S 1/2	Section 14	TWP 27	RGE E/W 9E	Application / Notification #	Class
Landowner WADNR NW - Benjamin Hale		Timber Owner same as landowner			Operator same as landowner	
Mailing Address 919 N Township St		Mailing Address			Mailing Address	
City, State (Province), Zip (Postal Code) Sedro Woolley, 98284		City, State (Province), Zip (Postal Code)			City, State (Province), Zip (Postal Code)	
Meeting Location on site		Telephone Conference <input type="checkbox"/>	Date June 30, 2015	Time 0830	Region NW	

Subjects Discussed:

Revised ICN. Correction of the list of participants.

Landowner representative requested an on-site review of the proposed stream channel restoration project on an orphaned road. The orphaned road has pirated the water from the natural stream channel and redirected the water down the road grade for approximately 250' before it flowed back into the old channel. Landowner representative wanted to know if a FPA would be required for this project.

The stream is a type 4 stream under the DNR HCP classification. A few trees on the orphaned road will need to be removed in order for the equipment needed to do the work to redirect the stream back toward its original channel to gain access to the work site. The reconstructed road will be abandoned after the stream restoration work is completed.

Field conditions may not allow the stream to be redirected back to its original channel. However, a suitable location slightly below the old road / stream crossing site was located that would return the water back to the stream channel and off the road surface of the orphaned road.

Decisions Made:

A FPA will be required from DNR Forest Practices for the proposed stream channel restoration work. The FPA is to be for reopening (construction) of the orphaned road and abandonment of the same road after the stream channel restoration work is completed.

PRINT Participants' Names	*SIGNATURES of Participants	Representing	Copies Mailed
Derek Marks Al McGuire Jason Teller Amy Halgren Benjamin Hale	<i>Steven Huang</i> <i>and others</i>	Tulalip Tribes landowner landowner landowner landowner	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Position No. 2925	Signature & Title of DNR Representative Steven Huang Forest Practices Forester	Date Aug. 10, 2015	Work Phone (360)8563500

*** (Participant signature means Note is correct for subjects discussed and decisions made at the meeting.)**

Did not attend -- mail copies to: RPARM, FPDM, FPCOORD, SKY30

Timber Owner Landowner Others: SNOCO, ECV, DIFW, DOR, TULAIP

July 14, 2015.

Sunny, warm, dry

Sitka typing notes

for Sitka restoration project

D 34

Beulah

Don 5/15/14

James Taylor

No 112

112

P4/WP	Historic Channel		P. 1/2
	HD (ft)	Width	
306	50'	2	ALUMINUM FAN
307	47'	5	ALUMINUM FAN
308	50'	3	ALUMINUM FAN
309	50'	2	ALUMINUM FAN
310	50'	2	ALUMINUM FAN
311	50'	2	ALUMINUM FAN

J. L. DARLING CORP. TACOMA, WA
www.RiteintheRain.com

P4/WP	Current Channel		P. 2/2
	HD (ft)	Width	
300	7'4"	0-5	COMPACTS
301	5'	0-5	
302	4'	0-5	Starts Branding
303		0-5	Confluence Reedy Inlet
304		0-5	Branding Cont.
305		0-5	End down stream

No. 312

Br. 1/2

From: EGTVEDT, LISA (DNR)
To: Hale, Benjamin (DNR)
Cc: Moon, John (DNR); MCGUIRE, AL (DNR)
Subject: Reopening of the DF-34 road for trail construction (in a NRF "Next Best" stand)
Date: Thursday, September 08, 2016 3:39:15 PM
Attachments: [DF34_New_Construction.pdf](#)

Hi, Ben,

This email is intended to address the proposed reopening of the DF-34 road from an HCP/spotted owl habitat perspective, as this road is located in a NRF "Next Best" stand. The DF-34 road was widened in 2015 (requiring the removal of 31 trees) in order to conduct a stream restoration project located near the end of this road. The tree removal was spread out over a relatively long linear stretch, and therefore was not considered to result in the degradation of current or future habitat conditions for the northern spotted owl. Following the completion of this project, the road was abandoned.

You have recently informed me that upcoming trail construction will require reopening of this road to gain temporary access to an area beyond the road. Neither the reopening of the road nor the trail building will involve the removal of any trees. A culvert will be installed in the restored stream in order for highway vehicles to cross it to get to the trail building site. Attached is the map that you have provided me, depicting the road and culvert installation locations (to verify the area being addressed).

Because this proposal does not involve the removal of trees, and because the stand does not currently contain suitable spotted owl habitat, nor is it located near any known spotted owl nest sites, the proposal is not expected to result in adverse impacts to spotted owls or their habitat.

If the proposed project should change significantly from what is described above, please let me know, so that a reassessment can be conducted.

Thank you for the opportunity to address this proposal from a spotted owl habitat perspective.

Lisa Egtvedt
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Northwest Region
Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR)
360-333-5769
lisa.egtvedt@dnr.wa.gov
www.dnr.wa.gov

Hale, Benjamin (DNR)

From: Hale, Benjamin (DNR)
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 2:45 PM
To: HUANG, STEVEN (DNR)
Cc: MCGUIRE, AL (DNR); derek marks; Teller, Jason (DNR) (Jason.Teller@dnr.wa.gov)
Subject: RE: Ques. FPA Application DF-34 Stream Restoration: Reiter Foothills

Thanks Steve,

I think we will submit the FPA with the ICN from June 30 attached.

Best,
Ben

From: HUANG, STEVEN (DNR)
Sent: Saturday, September 05, 2015 10:38 AM
To: Hale, Benjamin (DNR) <Benjamin.Hale@dnr.wa.gov>
Cc: MCGUIRE, AL (DNR) <al.mcguire@dnr.wa.gov>; derek marks <dmarks@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov>
Subject: RE: Ques. FPA Application DF-34 Stream Restoration: Reiter Foothills

Ben,

I think it would be a good idea to have it changed to a type Np stream through the WTM process (unless the DNR HCP does not allow the change due to stream features). Otherwise, you can submit the FPA with the ICN and the stream can be treated as type Np for this FPA only, again, only if the HCP allow for that.

From: Hale, Benjamin (DNR)
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 1:44 PM
To: HUANG, STEVEN (DNR) <STEVEN.HUANG@dnr.wa.gov>
Cc: MCGUIRE, AL (DNR) <al.mcguire@dnr.wa.gov>
Subject: Ques. FPA Application DF-34 Stream Restoration: Reiter Foothills

Hi Steve,

I am getting to the end of the FPA application for the stream restoration project out at Reiter Foothills. I was hoping you can give me some direction before continuing though. The stream fits the characteristics of a Type 3 stream but in the field on June 30 we discussed how the stream is more like a Type 4 stream due to the fact that it is dry 3 – 9 months out of the year; it does not flow into any other body of water but simply falls into the earth not to emerge again in any near vicinity; and the source is not from any other body of water either. The ICN from our meeting on June 30 indicates that the stream is a Type 4 stream but not downgraded from a Type 3 stream. Do we need to contact the Tribes, WDFW, and DOE to get concurrence that this stream should be downgraded? Can you concur that this stream should be downgraded from a Type 3 to a Type 4? Thanks so much in advance and let me know if you need further information.

Best,
Ben

Benjamin Hale
Washington State Department of Natural Resources
Cascade District Recreation Manager
Benjamin.Hale@dnr.wa.gov

Cell: 360-854-8451

Hale, Benjamin (DNR)

From: Derek Marks <dmarks@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2015 2:16 PM
To: Hale, Benjamin (DNR)
Cc: MCGUIRE, AL (DNR)
Subject: FW: Stream Restoration Consultation Visit - Reiter Area

Ben,

Below is the cultural resources review from our staff. I hope this helps.

Derek Marks
Tulalip Tribes-*Timber Fish and Wildlife Program Manager*
(360) 716-4614

From: Richard Young
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2015 1:53 PM
To: Derek Marks <dmarks@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov>
Subject: RE: Stream Restoration Consultation Visit - Reiter Area

Derek,

I don't have any archaeological concerns for this project at this time; However there are two historical sites located in the southern half of Section 7 which will need to be protected if they are operating in this area, one is a bridge (45-SN-628) that crosses Deer Creek and the second is historical remnants from a steam donkey (45-SN-651) which consists of two parallel cedar logs connected with 4 cylindrical iron ties. I don't have the exact location of the project and not sure where Reiter/Deer flats is that he is referring too, couldn't find it on the maps I was look at.

Thank you,

Richard Young
Cultural Resource Manager
Hibulb Cultural Center &
Natural History Preserve
6410 23rd Avenue, N.E.
Tulalip, WA 98271

ryoung@tulaliptribes.nsn.gov
(360) 716-2652 - Office
(425) 239-0182 - Cell

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: This e-mail message and any attachments accompanying it may contain confidential or privileged information. The information is intended only for the use of the intended recipient(s). Delivery of this message to anyone other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended to waive any privilege or otherwise detract from the confidentiality of the message. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, do not read, disclose, reproduce, distribute, disseminate or otherwise use this transmission, rather, please promptly notify the sender by return e-mail, and then destroy all copies of the message and attachments, if any. Thank you.

From: Derek Marks
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 9:49 AM
To: Richard Young <ryoung@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov>
Subject: FW: Stream Restoration Consultation Visit - Reiter Area

Richard,

I'm not sure the e-mail below exactly paints the picture I was trying to describe to DNR, but it would be good to get your thoughts on the subject. The project will re-open an old grade for the purpose of relocating a stream channel more or less to its historic alignment. Additionally, I believe they will dump some rock that will be used for constructing a trail in the area. The project site is located in the Reiter/Deer Flats area, in the southern half of Sec 7, T 27N, R 10E

Let me know if you have any concerns there, please.

Derek Marks
Tulalip Tribes-*Timber Fish and Wildlife Program Manager*
(360) 716-4614

From: Hale, Benjamin (DNR) [<mailto:>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 8:40 AM
To: Derek Marks <dmarks@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov>
Subject: RE: Stream Restoration Consultation Visit - Reiter Area

Hi Derek,

We are finishing up the FPA application for the stream restoration project you came out with us to do a site visit for on June 30. I know you mentioned in the field that you did not have concerns regarding historical and cultural resource impacts but wanted to follow up one more time to make sure you did not want one of the Tribes' historical and cultural representatives to weigh in on the project before submitting the application. Let me know either way if you'd like to have one of the Tribes' representatives come out for another site visit. Thanks so much.

Best,
Ben

From: Derek Marks [<mailto:dmarks@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2015 3:10 PM
To: MCGUIRE, AL (DNR); Bails, Jamie L (DFW); Hale, Benjamin (DNR); HALGREN, AMY (DNR); HUANG, STEVEN (DNR)
Subject: RE: Stream Restoration Consultation Visit - Reiter Area

The 24th and 30th are wide open, at this point. I could make adjustments on the 26th and 29th, if needed, but that would mean that other Tulalip staff might not be in attendance, if that matters to you, Al.

Derek Marks
Tulalip Tribes-*Timber, Fish and Wildlife Program Manager*
(360) 716-4614

From: MCGUIRE, AL (DNR) [<mailto:al.mcguire@dnr.wa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2015 1:42 PM
To: Bails, Jamie L (DFW); Hale, Benjamin (DNR); Derek Marks; HALGREN, AMY (DNR); HUANG, STEVEN (DNR)
Subject: Stream Restoration Consultation Visit - Reiter Area

Could you check your calendars and see if you are available Jun 22,24,26,29 or30. I would like to put together a field visit to look at a project to return a creek into its channel. We don't need to have everyone attended, but it would be nice.

Thanks.

Allen McGuire
Cascade District Manager
NW Region
(360)856-3500
Al.McGuire@dnr.wa.gov



WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF
Natural Resources
 Peter Goldmark - Commissioner of Public Lands

FPA/N No: 2815399

Effective Date: 9/29/2016

Expiration Date: 9/29/2019

**Forest Practices Application/Notification
 Notice of Decision**

Shut Down Zone: 658

EARR Tax Credit: Eligible Non-eligible

Reference: DF 34

DECISION:

- NOTIFICATION Operations shall not begin before the effective date.
- APPROVED This Forest Practices Application is subject to the conditions listed below.
- DISAPPROVED This Forest Practices Application is disapproved for the reasons listed below.
- CLOSED Applicant has withdrawn FPA/N.

FPA/N CLASSIFICATION

Number of Years Granted on Multi-Year Request

- Class II
- Class III
- Class IVG
- Class IVS
- 4yrs
- 5 yrs

Conditions on Approval / Reasons for Disapproval

THIS OPERATION IS SUBJECT TO THESE CONDITIONS:

No additional conditions.

FOR YOUR INFORMATION:

Notify DNR Northwest Region Office (360-856-3500) 48 business hours before commencing timber harvest operations. Please provide the application number and legal description for your operation.

Issued By: Steven Huang *SH*

Region: Northwest

Title: Skykomish Forest Practice Forester

Date: 9/29/2016

Copies to: Landowner, Timber Owner and Operator

Issued in Person: Landowner, Timber Owner Operator By: *L. Utzgard*

Appeal Information

You have thirty (30) days to appeal this Decision and any related State Environmental Policy Act determinations to the Pollution Control Hearings Board in writing at the following addresses:

Physical address: 1111 Israel Rd. SW, Ste 301, Tumwater, WA 98501

Mailing address: P.O. BOX 40903, OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0903

Information regarding the Pollution Control Hearings Board can be found at: <http://www.eluho.wa.gov/>

At the same time you file an appeal with the Pollution Control Hearings Board, also send a copy of the appeal to the Department of Natural Resources' region office and the Office of the Attorney General at the following addresses:

Office of the Attorney General
Natural Resources Division
1125 Washington Street SE
PO Box 40100
Olympia, WA 98504-0100

And

Department Of Natural Resources
Northwest Region
919 N Township Street
Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284

Other Applicable Laws

Operating as described in this application/notification does not ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act, or other federal, state, or local laws.

Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) (Chapter 77.55RCW and WAC 222-50-020(2))

The Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), as the jurisdictional agency issuing HPAs, has final authority for approving water crossing structures in Type S and F waters. WDFW continues to have authority on Type N waters and may exercise that authority on some Type N waters.

Notice: The HPA water crossing requirements supersede what is indicated on the FPA. Landowners are required by law to follow the provisions as directed on the HPA.

Transfer of Forest Practices Application/Notification (WAC 222-20-010)

Use the "Notice of Transfer of Approved Forest Practices Application/Notification" form. This form is available at region offices and on the Forest Practices Division website: <http://www.dnr.wa.gov/businesspermits/forestpractices>. Notify DNR of new Operators within 48 hours.

Continuing Forest Land Obligations (RCW 76.09.060, RCW 76.09.070, RCW 76.09.390, and WAC 222-20-055)

Obligations include reforestation, road maintenance and abandonment plans, conversions of forest land to non-forestry use and/or harvest strategies on perennial non-fish habitat (Type Np) waters in Eastern Washington.

Before the sale or transfer of land or perpetual timber rights subject to continuing forest land obligations, the seller must notify the buyer of such an obligation on a form titled "Notice of Continuing Forest Land Obligation". The seller and buyer must both sign the "Notice of Continuing Forest Land Obligation" form and send it to the DNR Region Office for retention. This form is available at DNR region offices.

If the seller fails to notify the buyer about the continuing forest land obligation, the seller must pay the buyer's costs related to continuing forest land obligations, including all legal costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred by the buyer in enforcing the continuing forest land obligation against the seller.

Failure by the seller to send the required notice to the DNR at the time of sale will be prima facie evidence in an action by the buyer against the seller for costs related to the continuing forest land obligation prior to sale.

DNR affidavit of mailing:

On this day _____, I placed in the United States mail at Sedro-Woolley, WA, postage paid, a true and accurate copy of the attached document. Notice of Decision FPA #__2815__

_____ L Utgard _____

(Printed name)

(Signature)